

## 18.725: ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, FALL 2019

CHENYANG XU, NOTES BY MURILO ZANARELLA

### CONTENTS

Problem sets	1
1. 05/09/2019	2
2. 10/09/2019	3
3. 12/09/2019	5
4. 17/09/2019	7
5. 19/09/2019	8
6. 24/09/2019	10
7. 26/09/2019	12
8. 01/10/2019	13
9. 03/10/2019	14
10. 08/10/2019	16
11. 10/10/2019	18
12. 17/10/2019	19
13. 22/10/2019	21
14. 24/10/2019	23
15. 29/10/2019	24
16. 31/10/2019	26
17. 05/11/2019	27
18. 12/11/2019	29
19. 14/11/2019	31
20. 19/11/2019	32
21. 21/11/2019	34
22. 26/11/2019	35
23. 03/12/2019	37
24. 05/12/2019	39
25. 10/12/2019	41

### PROBLEM SETS

Website

Book

## 1. 05/09/2019

We will begin the class with chapter 1, which is the classical language, and then go to chapter 2, which is the language by Grothendieck.

Let  $k = \bar{k}$  be an algebraically closed field.

**Definition 1.1.** Denote  $\mathbb{A}^n$  the affine space over  $k$ , and for a  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , consider the set

$$Z(f) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\},$$

and analogously for an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we consider  $Z(I)$ , and these are called *algebraic sets*. We endow  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with the *Zariski topology*, in which the closed sets are the algebraic sets.

*Remark 1.2.* Since  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is Noetherian, all algebraic sets are the zero set of finitely many polynomials.

**Example 1.3.**  $\mathbb{A}^1$  has the cofinite topology.

**Definition 1.4.** An algebraic set  $Y$  is called irreducible if  $Y$  cannot be written as a union of proper algebraic sets.

**Definition 1.5.** An *affine variety* is an irreducible algebraic set of one of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . A *quasi-affine variety* is an open set of an affine variety.

Now start with a subset  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ , and we consider

$$I(Y) := \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(Y) = 0\}.$$

**Proposition 1.6.**  $Z$  and  $I$  satisfy the following properties:

- (1)  $Z$  and  $I$  are inclusion-reserving,
- (2)  $I(Y_1 \cup Y_2) = I(Y_1) \cap I(Y_2)$ ,
- (3) if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $I(Z(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ ,
- (4) for any  $Y$ ,  $Z(I(Y)) = \bar{Y}$ .

*Proof.* First two are easy, (3) is Nullstellensatz, and for (4), note that  $Y \subseteq Z(I(Y))$  implies  $\bar{Y} \subseteq Z(I(Y))$ , and if we have any other  $W = Z(\mathfrak{a})$ , then  $W \supseteq Y$  implies by (1) that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I(Z(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq I(Y)$ , and so again by (1), we have  $W = Z(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq Z(I(Y))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.7.** *There exist a one to one correspondence*

$$\{\text{algebraic subset of } \mathbb{A}^n\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{radical ideals of } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$$

given by  $Z$  and  $I$ . Moreover, under this correspondence we have

$$\{\text{subvarieties of } \mathbb{A}^n\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{prime ideals of } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}.$$

**Example 1.8.**  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is irreducible,  $Z(f)$  for an irreducible polynomial  $f$  is irreducible.

**Definition 1.9.** For an algebraic set  $Y$ , let its *coordinate ring* be

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(Y).$$

**Definition 1.10.** A topological space  $A$  is *Noetherian* if any descending chain of closed sets stabilize.

**Proposition 1.11.** *If  $X$  is a Noetherian topological space, then any closed subspace  $Y$  can be decomposed into irreducibles subspaces in a unique way if one does not allow redundancies.*

## 2. 10/09/2019

**Example 2.1.** Let  $I = (x^2 - yz, xz - x) \subseteq k[x, y, z]$ . Then  $Z(I) = Z(x^2 - yz) \cap Z(xz - x) = Z(x^2 - yz) \cap (Z(z - 1) \cup Z(x)) = (Z(x^2 - yz) \cap Z(z - 1)) \cup (Z(x^2 - yz) \cap Z(x))$ , which is  $Z(x^2 - y, z - 1) \cup Z(x, y) \cup Z(x, z)$ , and has three components.

**Definition 2.2.** The *dimension* of a Noetherian topological space is the largest  $d$  such that there exist irreducible closed subsets

$$Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_d \subseteq Z.$$

**Definition 2.3.** For a quasi-affine variety (or an algebraic set)  $X$ , we define  $\dim X$  to be the dimension of the Zariski topology associated to  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $Y$  is an affine algebraic set, then  $\dim Y = \dim A(Y)$ , the Krull dimension of  $A(Y)$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $B$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra with  $B$  integrally closed. Then*

- (a)  $\dim B$  is the transcendence degree of  $\text{Frac} B/k$ ,

(b) for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\dim B = \text{height } \mathfrak{p} + \dim B/\mathfrak{p}.$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $Y$  is quasi-affine, then  $\dim Y = \dim \bar{Y}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\dim Y \leq \dim \bar{Y}$ : if  $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$  are closed in  $Y$ , then their closure are still distinct.

Now consider a chain of maximal length

$$\{P\} = Z_0 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n \subseteq Y.$$

Since  $\dim \bar{Y} = \text{height}_{A(\bar{Y})} \mathfrak{m}/I(\bar{Y}) + \dim B/\mathfrak{m}$  where  $\mathfrak{m} = I(Z_0) \supseteq I(\bar{Y})$  is a maximal ideal, we have  $\dim \bar{Y} \geq (\dim Y - 1) + 1 = \dim Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring, and  $f \in A$  neither a unit nor a zero divisor. The every minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $f$  has height 1.*

**Proposition 2.8.** *An integral domain  $A$  is a UFD if and only if any height 1 prime ideal is principal.*

**Theorem 2.9.** *An affine variety  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $Z = Z(f)$  for some  $f$  irreducible.*

*Proof.* Assume first  $\dim Z = n - 1$ . If  $Z = Z(\mathfrak{p})$ , then  $n = \text{height } \mathfrak{p} + \dim Z$ , and so  $\mathfrak{p}$  is height 1, and hence principal.

Conversely, use the theorem above to conclude that the dimension is  $n - 1$ .  $\square$

## 2.1. Projective varieties.

**Definition 2.10.** The *projective space*  $\mathbb{P}^n = (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})/\sim$  where  $x \sim \lambda x$  for  $\lambda \in k^\times$ . We consider the ring  $S = \sum_{i \geq 0} S_i$  where  $S_i$  is the set of degree  $i$  homogeneous polynomials. An ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a *homogeneous ideal* if  $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i \geq 0} S_i \cap \mathfrak{a}$ .

**Definition 2.11.**  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is an *algebraic set* if it is  $Z(T)$  where  $T$  is a set of homogeneous polynomials, and we define the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by taking the closed sets to be the algebraic sets.

**Definition 2.12.** A *projective variety* is an irreducible algebraic set of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . A *quasi-projective variety* is an open set of a projective variety.

**Definition 2.13.** For an algebraic set  $Y$  we let  $I(Y)$  be the homogeneous ideal of homogeneous polynomials that vanish on  $Y$ . We denote  $A(Y) = S/I(Y)$  the *homogeneous coordinate ring*.

**Proposition 2.14** (Homogeneous Nullstellensatz). *Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq S$  be a homogeneous ideal. If  $f \in S$  with positive degree, then  $f(Z(\mathfrak{a})) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $f^p \in \mathfrak{a}$  for some  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  inside of  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ . Since  $\deg f > 0$ , it also vanishes at  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ . By the usual Nullstellensatz, we have  $f^p \in \mathfrak{a}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.15.** The *hyperplanes* are  $H_i = Z(x_i)$ , and  $S \setminus H_i$  is a copy of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

**Proposition 2.16.**  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_0$  by  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (1, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a homeomorphism.

### 3. 12/09/2019

*Proof.* For  $f \in S^h$ , we let  $\alpha(f) = f(1, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A := k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ . For  $g \in A$ , we let  $\beta(g) = x_0^{\deg g} g(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0})$ . We want to see these send closed sets to closed sets.

If  $Y$  is closed on  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_0$ , we have  $\bar{Y} = Z(T)$  and  $\bar{Y} \cap (\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_0) = Y$ . Then  $Z(\alpha(T)) = \varphi(Y)$ .

Now let  $W = Z(T')$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(W) = Z(\beta(T')) \setminus H_0$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.1.** Consider the map  $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  taking  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (t_I := \prod_{i \in I} x_i)$  for  $I$  multisets of  $d$  elements, so  $N = \binom{n+d-1}{n}$ . The image will be a projective variety, given by equations

$$T := \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k y_{I_i} = \prod_{j=1}^k y_{J_j} \text{ if } \bigcup_i I_i = \bigcup_j J_j \right\}.$$

We know that the image of the map above lies inside  $Z(T)$ , and we want to prove it maps surjectively. Indeed, let  $p \in Z(T)$ . Consider  $y_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)}$  such that its coordinate is nonzero. We then consider  $x_i = \frac{y_{(i, i_2, \dots, i_d)}}{y_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d)}}$ . Then  $x_{k_1} \cdots x_{k_d} = \frac{y_{(k_1, \dots, k_d)}}{y_{(i_1, \dots, i_1)}}$ . We can see the denominator is nonzero because  $y_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)}^d = \prod_{j=1}^d y_{(i_j, \dots, i_j)}$ . Then  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  maps to  $p$ .

#### 3.1. Morphisms.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $Y$  be a quasi-affine variety of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . A *regular function*  $f$  at  $p \in Y$  is such that there is a neighborhood  $U$  with  $p \in U \subseteq Y$  such that there are  $g, h \in A$  such that  $f = \frac{g}{h}$  in  $U$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** A regular function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is continuous.

*Proof.* We only need to show that for  $p \in \mathbb{A}^1$  that  $f^{-1}(t)$  is closed. To show this, we may show this locally. So choose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $t$  such that  $f = \frac{g}{h}$  at  $U$ . Then  $g(x) = t \cdot h(x)$  is  $f^{-1}(t)$  at  $U$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $Y$  be a quasi-affine projective variety of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . A *regular function*  $f$  at  $p \in Y$  is such that there is a neighborhood  $U$  with  $p \in U \subseteq Y$  such that there are  $g, h \in S^h$  with same degree such that  $f = \frac{g}{h}$  in  $U$ .

**Definition 3.5.** A *variety* (over  $k$ ) is a quasi-projective variety. If  $X, Y$  are two varieties, a *morphism*  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map that is continuous, and such that for every open set  $V \subseteq Y$  and regular function  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , we have that  $f \circ \varphi: \varphi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is regular.

**Example 3.6.** Consider  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  by  $t \mapsto (t^2, t^3)$ . Then the image is  $Z(y^2 - x^3)$ . One can check this is a homeomorphism on points. But it is not an isomorphism, since its inverse is not going to be a morphism.

**Example 3.7.** In characteristic  $p$ , look at  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  by  $t \mapsto t^p$ . It is also a homeomorphism but is not an isomorphism.

**Definition 3.8.** If  $Y$  is a variety, we consider the objects:

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  the ring of regular functions,
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}_p(Y)$  the ring of germs of regular functions at  $p$ ,
- (3)  $K(Y)$  the ring of functions that are regular at some point of  $p$ , identifying then if they agree locally (this is an equivalence relation).

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $Y$  is an affine variety, then  $\mathcal{O}(Y) = A(Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_p(Y) = A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p}$  where  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  is the maximal ideal for  $p$ . Moreover,  $\dim \mathcal{O}_p(Y) = \dim Y$ . Finally,  $K(Y) = \text{Frac } A(Y)$ .

*Proof.* We have a natural injection  $A(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Now let  $p \in Y$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  its maximal ideal. Then  $A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p(Y)$ , since they are the localization of integral rings. But it is easy to see it is surjective. Now taking fraction fields, we have  $K(Y) = \text{Frac } A(Y)$ . Now  $A(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y) \hookrightarrow \bigcap_p \mathcal{O}_p \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Jac}(A(Y))$ , which is  $A(Y)$  since  $A(Y)$  is integral.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** The map  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is an isomorphism.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a homogeneous prime of  $S$ , we consider  $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  the degree 0 part of  $T^{-1}S$  where  $T$  is the homogeneous polynomials in  $S \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

## 4. 17/09/2019

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective variety with homogeneous coordinate ring  $S(Y)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(Y) = k$ . For all  $p \in Y$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subseteq S(Y)$  be the ideal generated by all elements in  $S(Y)$  with  $f(p) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq S(Y)_{(\mathfrak{m}_p)}$ . Finally,  $K(Y) \simeq S(Y)_{(0)}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $p \in U_0 = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_0)$ . Then  $S(Y)_{(x_0)} \simeq A(Y_0)$  if  $Y_0 = Y \cap U_0$ . If  $f'$  is the corresponding function, we have  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq A(Y_0)_{\mathfrak{m}'_p}$  and we can check that this is  $S(Y)_{(\mathfrak{m}_p)}$ . For the last part, we have  $K(Y) = K(Y_0) = K(A(Y_0)) = S(Y)_{(0)}$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , then  $f|_{U_i} \in S(Y)_{(x_i)}$ , that is, there is  $N_i$  such that  $x_i^{N_i} f \in S(Y)$ . Then we have for  $N$  large that  $S_N f \subseteq S(Y)$ , and since the degree of  $f$  is 0, this means  $S(Y)_N f \subseteq S(Y)_N$ , and so  $S(Y)_N f^m \subseteq S(Y)_N$  for any  $m$ . This implies that  $S(Y)[f] \subseteq S(Y)_{x_0}$ , and so  $S(Y)[f]$  is a finite module over  $S(Y)$ . So  $f$  is integral over  $S(Y)$ . In particular we can take the degree 0 part of the relation. Hence it has coefficients in  $S(Y)_0 = k$ , and as  $k$  is algebraically closed, this means that  $f \in k$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a variety, and  $Y$  an affine variety. Then there is a bijection  $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A(Y), \mathcal{O}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* The map is the pullback, which comes from the definition of a morphism:  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  goes to  $h: A(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ . We want to construct its inverse. Consider  $\bar{x}_i \in A(Y)$  the image of  $x_i$ . Write  $\xi_i = h(\bar{x}_i) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Then we consider  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  to be  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . It suffices now to prove that its image is in  $Y$ . For  $f \in I(Y)$ , we have that  $f(\xi_1(p), \dots, \xi_n(p)) = 0$  for all  $p \in X$ , that is, that  $(\xi_1(p), \dots, \xi_n(p)) \in Y$ . It remains to check such map is a morphism, which follows from the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a variety, and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  an affine variety. Then  $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism if and only if  $x_i \circ \psi$  is regular for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be such that  $x_i \circ \psi$  are all regular. To prove  $\psi$  is continuous, we need to check that for any regular function and any closed set  $Z$ ,  $f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , we have closed preimage. But  $\psi \circ f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1 \circ \psi, \dots, x_n \circ \psi)$ , which is regular.

Now for any regular function at  $p$ , there is a neighborhood of  $p \in Y$ , in which it is given by  $\frac{f}{g}$  with  $g(p) \neq 0$  for  $f, g \in A(X)$ . Then  $\frac{f}{g} \circ \psi = \frac{f \circ \psi}{g \circ \psi}$  and  $f \circ \psi, g \circ \psi$  are regular, with  $g \circ \psi(p) \neq 0$ , so it is regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine, then  $X \simeq Y$  if and only if  $A(X) \simeq A(Y)$ .*

**Corollary 4.5.**  *$X \rightarrow A(X)$  is a contravariant equivalence between affine varieties and finitely generated  $k$ -algebras which is an integral domain.*

#### 4.1. Rational maps.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\varphi, \psi: X \rightarrow Y$  two morphisms. If  $\varphi = \psi$  in an open nonempty  $U \subseteq X$ , then  $\varphi = \psi$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Considering  $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ , we may consider  $(\varphi, \psi)$ . Then  $(\varphi, \psi)|_U \subseteq \Delta$  the diagonal. So its pullback is a closed subset of  $X$ . Since it also contains  $U$ , it must be the entire  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.7.** A *rational map*  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  for  $X, Y$  varieties is a pair  $(U, \varphi_U)$  such that  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\varphi_U: U \rightarrow Y$  modulo the equivalence relation when they agree at the intersection.

**Definition 4.8.** A *birational map* is  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  a rational map that has a rational map that is its inverse.

## 5. 19/09/2019

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $Y$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , then  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Y$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ , and in particular is affine.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = Z(f)$ . Define  $H \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  to be  $Z(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)x_{n+1} - 1)$ . Now we define the natural projection map  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  onto the first  $n$  coordinates, and we can check this is a bijection on points  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Y$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Y \rightarrow H$  is a morphism, since  $H$  is affine and each coordinate is regular.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *If  $Y$  is a variety, then there exist a basis for the topology consisting of affine open sets.*

*Proof.*  $Y$  is covered by quasi-affines, so we may assume  $Y$  is quasi-affine. And in fact, we have  $\overline{Y} \setminus Y = Z(\mathfrak{a})$  and so there is  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  with  $f(p) \neq 0$ . By the lemma,  $\mathbb{A}_f^n := \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(f)$  is affine, and  $\mathbb{A}_f^n \cap Y = \mathbb{A}_f^n \cap \overline{Y}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}_f^n$ . This let us conclude that  $\mathbb{A}_f^n \cap Y$  is affine, and contains  $p$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.** We say a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  is *dominant* if there is  $U \subseteq X$  with  $\overline{f(U)} = Y$ .



**Theorem 5.4.** *Given  $X$  a variety, there is a contravariant equivalence of categories of varieties  $Y$  with dominant rational maps from  $x$  to  $Y$  and field extensions of finitely generated  $k$ -algebras of  $K(X)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is a regular function on  $Y$ , we consider  $U \cap f^{-1}(V)$  and choose  $U_1 \subseteq U \cap f^{-1}(V)$  affine. Now we can pullback  $f$  to  $U_1$  to a regular map  $\theta(f)$ , so to an element of  $\mathcal{O}(U_1) = A(U_1) \hookrightarrow K(A(U_1)) = K(X)$ .

Now consider  $\theta K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$ . We may assume  $Y$  is affine, and choose generators  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of  $A(Y)$ , and we can find an open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $\theta(y_i)$  are regular on  $U$ . Then this gives a map  $A(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$ , which gives a morphism  $U \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y$ . To see that this is dominant, we use  $A(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$  is an injection, as if  $f \in Z(\overline{\varphi(U)})$ , then  $f$  maps to 0 under  $\theta$ .

It remains to prove that for a field extension  $K$ , there is a variety  $X$ . But this is simply by finding generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $K$ , and then  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq K$ , and consider a presentation of such algebra.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be varieties. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  and  $Y$  are birational.
- (2) There are  $U \subseteq X$  and  $V \subseteq Y$  with  $U \simeq V$ .
- (3)  $K(X) \simeq K(Y)$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Any variety is birational to a hypersurface.*

**Example 5.7** (Blow-up). Consider the algebraic set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  such that  $x_i y_j = x_j y_i$  for all  $i, j$ . We can show that this is irreducible: for a line  $L_a$  through the origin, we have that  $\overline{\varphi^{-1}(L_a) \setminus 0}$  where  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is  $(a_1 t, \dots, a_n t, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  when  $t$  varies. Then  $X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(0) \simeq \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0$  is irreducible, so  $X = \overline{X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(0)}$  is also irreducible.

**Definition 5.8.** If  $0 \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is a variety, we define the blow-up  $\text{Bl}_0 Y := \overline{\varphi^{-1}(Y \setminus 0)} \subseteq X$  as above.

**Example 5.9.** Let  $Y = Z(y^2 - x^2(x+1))$ . It has a node at 0, but its blowup at 0 gives it a non-intersecting curve: it is given by the equations  $y^2 = x^2(x+1)$  and  $xv = yu$  for  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . This procedure is resolving a singularity.

**Definition 5.10.** For a variety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  a point  $p \in Y$  is *non-singular* or *smooth* if for any generators  $f_1, \dots, f_m \subseteq I(Y)$ , the Jacobian at  $p$  has rank  $n - \dim Y$ .

6. 24/09/2019

**Definition 6.1.** A local Noetherian ring  $R$  is called *regular* if  $\dim R = \text{rank}_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** An affine variety is nonsingular at  $p$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is regular.

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $p = 0$ . For a  $f \in A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we consider  $(\partial_1 f(p), \dots, \partial_n f(p)) \in k^n$ . This factors through  $A/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , and so its image is the same as the image of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Let the ideal corresponding to  $Y$  be  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then the rank of the Jacobian matrix is  $n_1 = \text{rank}_k(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^2)/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  of  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\text{rank}_k \mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2 = \text{rank}_k \mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^2) = n_2$ .

Since  $n_1 + n_2 = \text{rank}_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = n$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

### 6.1. Nonsingular curves.

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $K/k$  be a field extension. A *valuation*  $v: K^\times \rightarrow G$  where  $G$  is a totally ordered abelian group satisfy, is a group homomorphism that satisfy  $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$ , and  $v(k) = 0$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** For a valuation  $v$  on  $K$ ,  $R = \{x \in K: v(x) \geq 0\}$  is the valuation ring, and is local with maximal ideal  $\{x \in K: v(x) > 0\}$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** If  $K$  is a field,  $R \subseteq K$  is a valuation ring for some  $v$  if and only if  $R$  is maximal element with respect to domination ( $(R_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$  dominates  $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$  iff  $R_1 \subseteq R_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap R_1 = \mathfrak{m}_1$ ). Also, any local ring is dominated by some valuation ring.

**Theorem 6.6.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is a DVR,
- (2)  $A$  is integrally closed,
- (3)  $A$  is regular,
- (4)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal.

**Definition 6.7.**  $A$  is a Dedekind domain if  $A$  is integrally closed, Noetherian of dimension 1.

**Theorem 6.8.** If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, and  $L \supseteq K(R)$  is a finite field extension, and  $R_L$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L$ , then  $R_L$  is a Dedekind domain.

Let  $K/k$  be a field. We denote  $C_K$  the set of all discrete valuation rings of  $K$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** *Let  $Y$  a variety. Let  $p, q \in Y$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_q \subseteq \mathcal{O}_p$ , then  $p = q$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y, \bar{Y}$  have the same function field, we may assume  $Y$  is projective. Now choose a hyperplane that misses  $p$  and  $q$ . Then  $Y - H$  is affine, and the local rings are localizations of  $A(Y - H)$ , and now the theorem is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $K$  be a function field of dimension 1. For any  $x \in K$ , the set  $\{R \in C_K : x \notin R\}$  is finite.*

*Proof.*  $x \notin R$  if and only if  $y := 1/x \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ . If  $y \in k$ , this is trivial. Otherwise  $k[y]$  is a polynomial ring ( $k$  is algebraically closed). Now  $K/k(y)$  is a finite extension, so we can consider the integral closure  $B$  of  $k[y]$  in  $K$ . Then  $B$  is a Dedekind domain. If  $y \in R$ , then  $k[y] \subseteq R$ , and so  $B \subseteq R$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}_R \cap B = \mathfrak{n}$  is a prime ideal, and  $B_{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq R$  is a DVR. So  $B_{\mathfrak{n}} = R$ . So  $y \in \mathfrak{m}_R$  if and only if  $y \in \mathfrak{n}$ . This is the same as the vanishing locus of  $y$  containing the point given by  $\mathfrak{n}$  on the affine curve given by  $B$ . There are finitely many such points.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.11.** *Any DVR in  $K/k$  is isomorphic to the local ring of a point at some nonsingular affine curve.*

*Proof.* The affine curve is the  $B$  in the proof above.  $\square$

We put the profinite topology on  $C_K$ .

Now for any  $U \subseteq C_K$ , open, we define  $\mathcal{O}(U) = \bigcap_{p \in U} R_p$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ , we define  $f(p) = [f] \in R_p/\mathfrak{m}_p \simeq k$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ , it vanishes at finitely many points.

**Definition 6.12.** An abstract nonsingular curve is an open set  $U$  of a  $C_K$ .

**Definition 6.13.** A morphism between varieties or abstract nonsingular curves is a continuous map  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that for any open  $V \subseteq Y$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$  we have  $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{O}(f^{-1}(V))$ .

**Proposition 6.14.** *Every nonsingular quasi-projective curve  $Y$  is isomorphic to an abstract nonsingular curve.*

*Proof.* Just take  $K = K(Y)$ . For any  $p \in Y$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is a DVR, so we define  $Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} C_K$  by  $p \mapsto \mathcal{O}_p$ . To see it is continuous, we just need to prove the image is open. We may assume  $Y$  is affine, since this makes it harder, but writing  $Y = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , this amounts to prove that there are finitely many  $R_p$  that do not contain some of  $x_i$ . But we saw this is finite.  $\square$

7. 26/09/2019

**Proposition 7.1.**  *$X$  an abstract curve and  $p \in X$ .  $Y$  projective and a morphism  $\varphi: X - p \rightarrow Y$  then it can be extended to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$ . Now choose  $U \subseteq X$  such that the image of  $U$  does not meet any of the  $H_i$ . Now  $\frac{x_i}{x_j}$  is regular on the image, and let  $f_{ij} = \varphi^*(x_i/x_j)$ , which is regular on  $U$ . Let  $v := v_p(f_{k0})$  be the minimal among all  $i$ . Then  $v_p(f_{ik})$  is always  $\geq 0$ . Now  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with coordinate ring  $k[x_0/x_k, \dots, x_N/x_k]$ , Then define  $q \mapsto (f_{0k}(q), \dots, f_{Nk}(q))$ , which is a well-defined morphism of  $U \cup \{p\}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** *If  $K$  is a function field of dimension 1 over  $k$ , then the abstract curve  $C_K$  is isomorphic to a non-singular projective curve.*

*Proof.* For any  $p \in C_K$ , we saw there is an affine curve  $C_p$  such that  $p \in C_p$ , and  $C_p$  isomorphic to an open set  $U_p$  inside  $C_K$ . Since  $C_p$  is quasi-compact, we can cover  $C_K$  by finitely many such  $\bigcup U_i = C_K$ . Consider the closure  $Y_i$  of  $C_i$ . Now consider the morphism given by the lemma above  $C_K \rightarrow Y_i$ , and so  $C_K \rightarrow \prod Y_i$ , which is still projective. Take the closure of the image to be  $Y$ , and consider  $C_K \rightarrow Y$ .

$Y$  contains the diagonal  $\Delta(\bigcap U_i)$ , so the function field of  $Y$  is the same as that of  $C_K$ , that is,  $K(Y) = K$ . So for any  $q \in Y$ , take an affine neighborhood with coordinate ring  $A$ . Then  $K(A) = K$ , and so for any maximal ideal of  $A$ , there is a DVR  $R_p$  which dominates the localization. This means that  $p \in C_K$  is mapped to  $q$ . So  $C_K \rightarrow Y$  is surjective.

We want to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathcal{O}_q$ . Let  $p \in U_i \subseteq Y_i$ . Then if  $p$  has image  $p'$  in  $U_i \subseteq C_K \rightarrow \prod Y_i \rightarrow Y_i$ , we have the inclusions  $\mathcal{O}_{p', Y_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q, Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p, C_K}$ , and so these are dominant, so  $\mathcal{O}_{p', Y_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{p, C_K}$ , and so also isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{q, Y}$ , and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.3.** *Every abstract curve is isomorphic to a nonsingular quasi-projective curve. Every curve is birationally equivalent to a non-singular projective curve.*

**Corollary 7.4.** *The following three categories are equivalent.*

- (1) *Nonsingular projective curves with dominant morphisms.*
- (2) *Quasi-projective curves with dominant rational maps.*
- (3) *Function fields of dimension 1 over  $k$ , with  $k$  field extensions as morphisms.*

*Proof.* The only thing that is left is to consider the map (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Consider  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . Then we want to construct a dominant morphism  $C_{K_2} \rightarrow C_{K_1}$ . For any  $p_2 \in C_{K_2}$ , consider an affine smooth curve  $U_2$  containing it. By (2)  $\iff$  (3) we now there is a morphism  $U_2 \rightarrow U_1$ , and we may assume both are affine smooth by shrinking. By the theorem before we can extend this morphism to a morphism  $C_{K_2} \rightarrow C_{K_1}$ .  $\square$

### 7.1. Schemes.

**Definition 7.5.** Given a topological space  $X$ , a *presheaf*  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is a collection of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  for any open set  $U$  of  $X$ , and a collection of morphisms  $\rho_{U,V}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  for any  $V \subseteq U$  such that there are compatible and such that  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$ .

**Definition 7.6.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *sheaf* if it is a presheaf and:

- (1) If  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  and an open cover  $\{V_i\}$  such that  $s|_{V_i} = 0$ , then  $s = 0$ .
- (2) If there is any collection  $\{V_i\}$  and elements  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$  that agree on the intersections, then there is an  $s \in \mathcal{F}(\bigcup V_i)$  that restricts to all the  $s_i$ .

## 8. 01/10/2019

**Definition 8.1.** For a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have the *stalks*  $\mathcal{F}_p = \varinjlim_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}(U)$ .

**Proposition 8.2.** If  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism between two sheaves then it is an isomorphism if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_p$ .

*Proof.* We want to prove  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is iso. If  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  maps to 0, then we know  $\varphi(s)_p = 0_p$  for any  $p$ . So we can take a cover of  $U$  such that  $\varphi(s)$  is 0 at all open sets of the cover. Hence  $\varphi(s) = 0$ . To prove it is surjective, for a  $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , there is  $s_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  with  $t_p = \varphi(s_p)$  for any  $p$ . Taking an open cover, we can glue them together to get a  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.3.** For a morphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of presheaves, we define the presheaves  $\ker \varphi$ ,  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ ,  $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$  in the naive way.

**Proposition 8.4.** If  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are sheaves, then  $\ker \varphi$  is a sheaf, but that is not necessarily true for  $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$  and  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ .

**Proposition 8.5.** For any presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^+$  (the sheafification) with a morphism  $\theta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  such that any morphism to a sheaf factors uniquely through  $\mathcal{F}^+$ .

*Proof.* We define  $\mathcal{F}^+(U) = \{s: U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p : s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_p \text{ and for any } p \text{ there is } p \in U, t \in \mathcal{F}(U) \text{ with } s(q) = t_q \text{ for } q \in U\}$ . It is easy to see it is a sheaf, and the morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  is the natural one. It is also easy to see that the stalks of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^+$  are the same.  $\square$

**Definition 8.6.** We take the image and cokernel sheaves of a morphism by taking the sheafification of the the presheaves.

**Definition 8.7.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous morphism, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $X$ , we define  $f_*\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf on  $Y$ , we define  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G})(U) = \left( \varinjlim_{V \supseteq f(U)} \mathcal{G}(V) \right)^+$ .

**Definition 8.8.** If  $i: Z \subseteq X$  is a subspace, we write  $\mathcal{F}|_Z = i^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ , and one can check  $(\mathcal{F}|_Z)_p = \mathcal{F}_p$  for  $p \in Z$ .

### 8.1. Schemes.

**Definition 8.9** (Affine Scheme). For a ring  $A$ , we define the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  by

$$\mathcal{O}(U) = \{s: U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} A_p : \text{for any } p \in U \text{ there is } V \subseteq U, a, f \in A \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p} \in V \implies f \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } s(q) = a/f\}.$$

**Proposition 8.10.** For any  $f \in A$ , let  $D(f) = \text{Spec } A - V(f)$ . Then these form a basis for the topology.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Choose  $f \in \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $D(f) \subseteq \text{Spec } A - V(\mathfrak{a})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.11.** For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq A_p$ . For all  $f \in A$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}(D(f)) = A_f$ . In particular  $\Gamma(\text{Spec } A) = A$ .

## 9. 03/10/2019

*Proof.* We saw that  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq A_p$ . To prove the second claim, we have a map  $A_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_f)$ . To prove it is injective, consider  $a/f^n = b/f^m$  in  $\mathcal{O}(D_f)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ann}(af^m - bf^n)$ . Since for any  $\mathfrak{p}$  we have  $a/f^n = b/f^m \in A_p$ , then there is  $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$  with  $g \in \mathfrak{a}$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . This holds for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)$ , so  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(f)$ . Hence there is  $k$  with  $f^k \in \mathfrak{a}$ , that is,  $f^k(af^n - bf^m) = 0$ , and hence  $a/f^n = b/f^m \in A_f$ .

Now let  $s \in \mathcal{O}(D_f)$ . This means there is an open cover  $V_i$  of  $D_f$  such that  $s|_{V_i} = a_i/h_i$  for  $h_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in V_i$ . We may assume  $V_i = D(h_i)$  for some  $h_i$  by what we saw before. So  $\bigcup D(h_i) \supseteq D(f)$ . This implies  $\bigcap V(h_i) \subseteq V(f)$ . So  $(f) \subseteq \sqrt{\sum h_i}$ . So there is  $n$  with  $f^n = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i h_i$  for  $g_i \in A$ .

This means that we may assume there are only finitely many  $h_i$ . So  $a_i/h_i$  are equal in  $D(h_i h_j)$ , and so we have that they are the same in  $A_{h_i h_j}$  by the injection above, so there is  $p$  such that  $(h_i h_j)^p (h_i a_j - h_j a_i) = 0$ , and choosing  $p$  to work for all pairs, we have  $a_i h_i^p / h_i^{p+1}$  are all equal in the intersections. So now, after replacing the variables, we can assume that the sections are  $a_i/h_i$  with  $a_i h_j = a_j h_i$ . Using that  $f^n \in (h_1, \dots, h_k)$ , write  $f^n = \sum b_i h_i$ , and if  $a = \sum b_i a_i$ , then one can check  $a/f^n = a_i/h_i$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.1.** A *ringed space* is a topological space  $X$  with a sheaf of rings.

**Definition 9.2.** A *morphism* between two ringed spaces  $(\varphi, \varphi^\#): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is such that  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi^\#: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Definition 9.3.** A *locally ringed space* is a ringed space such that all stalks are local rings.

**Definition 9.4.** A morphism between two locally ringed spaces is such that the induced map on the stalks is local, that is, that the pullback of the maximal ideal is the maximal ideal.

**Proposition 9.5.** *The construction  $A \mapsto (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O})$  is functorial from rings to locally ringed spaces, and it is a full functor.*

*Proof.* For  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ , we define  $f: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  by  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ , and note  $f^{-1}(V(\mathfrak{a})) = V(\varphi(\mathfrak{a}))$ . Checking that this is a morphism of locally ringed spaces is not hard. For the fullness, if  $(f, f^\#): (\text{Spec } B, \mathcal{O}_B) \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ , then it induces  $\varphi: A = \Gamma(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec } A, f_* \mathcal{O}_B) = \Gamma(\text{Spec } B, \mathcal{O}_B) = B$ , and we can prove that this is the inverse of the process above, that is, that  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Since  $f$  is a locally ringed morphism, we have the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_{f(\mathfrak{p})} & \longrightarrow & B_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

and this implies that the bottom map is a local morphism.  $\square$

**Definition 9.6** (Scheme). A *scheme* is a locally ringed space that is covered by affine schemes.

**Example 9.7.** We can take  $X_1 = X_2 = \mathbb{A}_k^1$  and glue them along  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 - \{0\}$  to get the line with two origins.

**9.1. Analog for projective.** Let  $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$  a graded ring. Consider  $S^+ = \bigoplus_{d > 0} S_d$ , and  $\text{Proj } S = \{\mathfrak{p} : \text{homogeneous primes } \mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq S^+\}$ , with topology given as usual.

To define the structure sheaf, we let

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}(U) = \{s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} S_{(p)} : \text{locally given by homogeneous element of degree 0}\}$$

as before.

## 10. 08/10/2019

**Proposition 10.1.** *For  $\text{Proj } S$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq S_{(p)}$ , that  $D(f) \simeq \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$  for  $f \in S^+$ . In particular, it is a scheme.*

*Proof.* The first part is similar to the affine case. For a homogeneous ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we define an ideal  $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) = (\mathfrak{a}S_f) \cap S_{(f)}$ . This is a map  $\varphi : D(f) \rightarrow \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$ . That it is a homeomorphism is easy. To see it is an isomorphism, suffices to check on the stalks, and these are  $(S_{(f)})_{(\mathfrak{p})} \simeq S_{(p)}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 10.2.** A morphism  $X \rightarrow S$  is a scheme  $X$  over  $S$ .

**Proposition 10.3.** *For  $k$  algebraically closed, there is a faithful functor from varieties over  $k$  to schemes over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* For a variety  $X$ , consider the topological space  $t(X)$  whose points are the irreducible closed sets of  $X$ , and the topology is given by declaring the algebraic sets of  $X$  to be closed on  $t(X)$ . Then we consider the map  $P \mapsto \overline{\{P\}}$ , and we can check they have the same open sets, and pushing  $\mathcal{O}_X$  through this, we can check that  $t(x) \simeq \text{Spec } A$ .  $\square$

### 10.1. Basic properties of schemes.

**Definition 10.4.**  $X$  is connected/irreducible if the topological space is connected/irreducible.

**Definition 10.5.**  $X$  is reduced/integral if for any  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is reduced/integral domain.

**Proposition 10.6.**  *$X$  is integral if and only if  $X$  is reduced and irreducible.*

*Proof.* That integral implies reduced is easy. If  $X$  is not irreducible, there are two distinct disjoint open sets, and then  $\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cup U_2) = \mathcal{O}_X(U_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(U_2)$ , which is not an integral domain.

Conversely, suppose  $X$  is irreducible and reduced. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  with  $fg = 0$ , we consider  $U \subseteq Y \cup Z$  with  $Y = \{x : f_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}$  and  $Z = \{x : g_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}$ . These are closed, and since  $X$ , hence



$U$ , is irreducible, we must have, say  $U \subseteq Y$ , which means that  $f \in \text{Nil}(U)$ , which means it is in the nilradical of all the affine sets of  $U$ . Since  $X$  is reduced, this means  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 10.7.**  $X$  is locally Noetherian if it can be covered by  $\text{Spec } A_i$  such that all  $A_i$  are Noetherian.  $X$  is Noetherian if it is covered by finitely many such  $A_i$ . (equivalently, it is locally Noetherian + quasi-compact).

**Proposition 10.8.** *A scheme is locally Noetherian if and only if all affine opens are Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Consider a cover  $U_i = \text{Spec } B_i$  of  $X$  by Noetherian. We can use this to find a topological basis  $\text{Spec } A_i$  of Noetherian ones. Let  $U = \text{Spec } A$  be any affine open. Then it is covered by open sets as above, so we may assume  $X$  is affine. For every  $A_i$ , we may find  $f_i$  such that  $\text{Spec } A_{f_i} \subseteq \text{Spec } A_i$ . If  $f|_{\text{Spec } A_i} = \bar{f}$ , then  $A_{f_i} = B_{\bar{f}}$  and so  $A_{f_i}$  is Noetherian.

So now the problem is the following: if  $\text{Spec } A$  is covered by  $\text{Spec } A_{f_i}$  and  $A_{f_i}$  are Noetherian, then  $\text{Spec } A$  is also Noetherian. This means we may consider  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (1)$  with  $A_{f_i}$  Noetherian. Consider  $\varphi_i: A \rightarrow A_{f_i}$ . For  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ , consider  $\bigcap \varphi_i^{-1} \varphi_i(\mathfrak{a})$ . It suffices to prove this is  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Suppose  $b$  is an element of such intersection. Then  $b = a_i / f_i^{m_i} \in A_{f_i}$  for  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ . So  $f_i^{n_i} (b f_i^{m_i} - a_i) = 0$ , so  $f_i^{n_i+m_i} b = f_i^{n_i} a_i$ , and since  $(1) = (f_1^M, \dots, f_n^M)$ , we have  $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 10.9.** A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *locally of finite type* if there exist a covering of  $Y$  by  $\text{Spec } B_i$  such that  $f^{-1} \text{Spec } B_i = \bigcup \text{Spec } A_{ij}$  such that  $A_{ij}$  is a finite type  $B_i$ -algebra.

**Proposition 10.10.** *As in the above, this holds for any such cover.*

**Definition 10.11.**  $f$  is of finite type if  $j < \infty$ .

**Example 10.12.** If  $V$  is a variety over  $k$  algebraically closed, then  $t(V)$  is integral of finite type over  $k$ . This is not enough to define variety because of the line with two origins.

**Definition 10.13.**  $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$  is an open subscheme for every  $U$  open.

**Definition 10.14.** A closed subscheme is  $f: Y \hookrightarrow X$  closed embedding such that  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y$  is surjective.

**Example 10.15.** For  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , the closed subschemes are  $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a})$ . Note the closed subscheme is not defined by the underlying topological space.

## 11. 10/10/2019

11.1. **Products.** For  $X, Y$  schemes over  $S$ , we want to consider the product  $X \times_S Y$ .

**Theorem 11.1.**  $X \times_S Y$  exists

*Proof.* When  $X, Y$  are affine, this is just the tensor product.

If  $X \times_S Y$  exists and  $U \subseteq X$  open, then  $U \times_S Y$  exists and is  $p_1^{-1}(U)$ . This is easy because of the universal property.

Consider an open cover  $X_i$  of  $X$ . If  $X_i \times_S Y$  exists for any  $i$ , then we want to prove that  $X \times_S Y$  exists. Now  $X_{ij} = X_i \cap X_j$  satisfy  $X_{ij} \times_S Y = p_{i1}^{-1}(X_{ij})$ , and so we can glue them together. In particular, we are done when  $S$  is affine.

If  $S$  is covered by affines  $S_i$ . If  $X_i, Y_i$  are the preimages in  $X, Y$ , then we can check  $X_i \times_{S_i} Y_i \simeq X_i \times_S Y$ , and so we are done by a step above.  $\square$

**Definition 11.2.** For a point  $y \in Y$ , we can consider the residue field  $k(y)$ , and define the fiber of  $X \rightarrow Y$  at  $y$  to be  $X \times_Y \text{Spec } k(y)$ .

**Proposition 11.3** (Ex 3.15). *Let  $X$  be finite type over  $k$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X \times_k k^s$  is irreducible,
- (2)  $X \times_k \bar{k}$  is irreducible,
- (3)  $X \times_k K$  is irreducible for any  $K$ .

*Then  $X$  is called geometrically irreducible over  $k$ .*

11.2. **Separatedness and properness.** Intuitively,  $X$  is separated should be correspondent to Hausdorff, and  $X \rightarrow Y$  proper should correspond to a proper map of topological spaces (image of compact set is compact).

**Example 11.4** (Non-separated). Line with double origin.

**Definition 11.5.**  $X \rightarrow Y$  is separated if  $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times_Y X$  is a closed immersion.

**Proposition 11.6.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  are two affine schemes, then  $f$  is separated.*

*Proof.*  $\Delta$  corresponds to  $A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$  by multiplication, and this is surjective.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.7.**  $X \rightarrow Y$  is separated if and only if  $\Delta(X) \subseteq X \times_Y X$  is closed.

*Proof.* This is since checking the surjectivity on the map of sheaves is a local question.  $\square$

## 12. 17/10/2019

We will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 12.1** (Valuative criterion for separatedness). *Let  $X$  be Noetherian. Then  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is separated if for any valuation ring  $R$ , there is at most one dotted arrow below*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } K(R) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } R & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

*Remark 12.2.* The intuition is the following. For  $R$  a DVR, think of  $\text{Spec } R$  as a disk, and  $\text{Spec } K(R)$  a punctured disk. Then this means that given a map from a punctured disk to  $X$ , there is at most one lift to the entire disk.

**Lemma 12.3.** *Let  $R$  be a valuation ring and  $X$  a scheme. For  $T = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $U = \text{Spec } K(R)$ . Then the data  $T \rightarrow X$  is the same data as  $x_1, x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \in \overline{\{x_1\}}$ ,  $k(x_1) \subseteq K$  and  $R$  dominates  $\mathcal{O}_{x_0, \overline{\{x_1\}}}$ . (here we see  $\overline{\{x_1\}}$  with the reduced structure)*

*Proof.* Simply unravel the definitions. □

**Lemma 12.4.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is quasi-compact, then the image of  $X$  is closed if and only if it is stable under specialization.*

*Proof.* Consider  $y \in \overline{f(X)}$ . Take an affine neighborhood  $y \in \text{Spec } B \subseteq Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\text{Spec } B) = \text{Spec } B \times_Y X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Spec } A_i$ . So  $y \in \overline{f(\text{Spec } A_i)}$  for one of the  $i$ . For the morphism  $B \rightarrow A_i$ , consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq B$  corresponding to  $y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ . Then  $f(x_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightsquigarrow y$ . □

*Proof of theorem.* Assume the map is separated. If  $h_1, h_2$  are two liftings, then there is a map  $(h_1, h_2): T \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ . Then  $(h_1, h_2)(U) \subseteq \Delta(X) \subseteq_{\text{closed}} X \times_Y X$ . Then  $(h_1, h_2)(T) \subseteq \overline{\Delta(X)} = \Delta(X)$ . Now we can use the first lemma to prove  $h_1 = h_2$ .

Now assume we always have unique liftings. Since  $X$  is Noetherian,  $\Delta(X) \subseteq X \times_Y X$  is quasi-compact. So by the second lemma, we only need to prove  $\Delta(X)$  is stable under specialization. Let  $y_1 \in \Delta(X)$ , and  $y_1 \rightsquigarrow y_0$ . Consider the reduced subscheme  $\overline{\{y_1\}} \subseteq X \times_Y X$ , and call  $k(y_1) = K$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_{y_0, \overline{\{y_1\}}} \subseteq K$ . So there exist a valuation ring  $R$  dominating such ring. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & X \times_Y X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & \Delta(X) \end{array}$$

and we get two morphisms  $T \rightarrow X$  by the two projections, and so by assumption we have that  $T$  maps inside  $\Delta(X)$ , which implies what we want.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.5.** *We assume all schemes are Noetherian.*

- (a) *Open and closed immersions are separated.*
- (b) *Compositions of separated is separated.*
- (c) *Separatedness is stable under base change.*
- (d) *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ , then  $(f, f'): X \times X' \rightarrow Y \times Y'$  is separated.*
- (e) *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $g \circ f$  is separated, then  $f$  is separated.*
- (f)  *$f: X \rightarrow Y$  is separated if and only if there is an open cover  $V_i$  of  $Y$  such that  $X \times_Y V_i \rightarrow V_i$  is separated for every  $i$ . (separated is local on target)*

*Proof of (c).* Let  $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ . If  $h_1, h_2: T \rightarrow X'$  and  $h: X' \rightarrow X$ , then we must have that  $h \circ h_1 = h \circ h_2$ , and by the universal property of  $X'$ , this implies  $h_1 = h_2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 12.6.**  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *closed* if and only if for any  $Z \subseteq X$  closed, the  $f(Z)$  is closed. It is *universally closed* if it is closed after any base change.

**Definition 12.7.**  $X \rightarrow Y$  is *proper* if it is separated, of finite type and universally closed.

**Example 12.8.**  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  is not proper. Base changing by  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ , we have  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto y$  which is not closed since the image of  $Z = V(xy - 1)$  is not closed.

**Theorem 12.9** (Valuative criterion for properness). *Let  $X$  be Noetherian and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of finite type. Then  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is proper if for any valuation ring  $R$ , there is exactly one dotted arrow below*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } K(R) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \searrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } R & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Assume  $f$  is proper. We only need to show uniqueness.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & X \times_Y T & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & T & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1$  be the point of  $T$ . Let  $x_1$  be the image of  $U$  in  $X \times_Y T$ . By properness, the image of  $\overline{x_1}$  is  $T$ . So let  $x_1 \rightsquigarrow x_0$  which maps to  $\xi_0$ . Now look at  $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{x_0, \overline{x_1}} \subseteq k(x_1) = K$ . Since  $R$  is a valuation

ring, then this implies  $\mathcal{O}_{x_0, \overline{x_1}} \simeq R$ . Now this data gives a morphism  $T \rightarrow X \otimes_Y T$ , which is the lift we wanted.

Now assume the lift always exists and is unique. We need to prove  $f$  is universally closed. Let  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  be a base change, and  $Z \subseteq X'$ . Since  $X$  is Noetherian,  $f$  is quasi-compact, and hence if  $f'$ . So we only need to prove  $Z$  is stable under specialization. So let  $y_1 \in f'(Z)$  and  $x_1 \in Z$  mapping to it, and  $y_1 \rightsquigarrow y_0$ . Call  $k(x_1) = K$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{y_0, \overline{y_1}} \subseteq k(y_1) \subseteq K$ , and choose a valuation ring  $R$  dominating it, and apply the criterion to this setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & \nearrow g & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

then  $y_0 \in \text{Im}(T)$ , and so  $y_0 \in h(Z)$  since  $Z$  is closed. □

13. 22/10/2019

**Proposition 13.1.** *Assume everything is Noetherian.*

- (a) *Closed immersions are proper.*
- (b) *Compositions of proper are proper.*
- (c) *Properness is stable under base change.*
- (d) *Products of proper are proper.*
- (e) *Cancelation holds: if  $g \circ f$  is proper and  $g$  is separated, then  $f$  is proper.*
- (f) *Properness is local on the base.*

**Definition 13.2** (Projective morphism). Let  $\mathbb{P}_Y^n := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n \times_{\mathbb{Z}} Y$ . Then  $X \rightarrow Y$  is projective if it factors through a closed immersion  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_Y^n$ .

**Theorem 13.3.** *A projective morphism of Noetherian schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$  is proper.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $X = \mathbb{P}_Y^n$ . Since properness is local on the base, we may assume  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ .

By induction on  $n$ , we may assume  $U \rightarrow X$  comes from  $A[x_1/x_0, \dots, x_n/x_0] \rightarrow K$ . Look at  $\nu(x_i/x_0) =: s_i$ . Then  $\nu(x_i/x_j) = s_i - s_j$ . Taking the minimal  $s_j$ , then  $A[x_0/x_j, \dots, x_n/x_j] \rightarrow K$  lies inside  $R$ . This is precisely the lifting we want.

To see it is separated is easy. □

*Remark 13.4.* All smooth projective curves are projective (essentially proven in Chapter 1). Moreover, all smooth proper surfaces are projective, but this is harder, but there is a singular proper surface which is not projective.

**Definition 13.5.** For  $k$  algebraically closed, we call a *variety* a separated integral finite type scheme over  $k$ .

**Theorem 13.6.** *The image of the varieties we studied before are precisely the quasi-projective integral schemes over  $k$ .*

**Theorem 13.7** (Chow's lemma). *Assume  $S$  Noetherian. Assume  $X \rightarrow S$  is proper. Then there exist a projective  $S$ -scheme  $X'$  with a map  $X' \rightarrow X$  that is an isomorphism on a dense Zariski open set of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X$  is irreducible (using Noetherian).

Then for any  $x \in X$ , we can find an open neighborhood  $U_i \subseteq X$  of  $x$  such that  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } B_i$  where  $\text{Spec } B_i$  is open in  $S$ , and  $A_i$  is finitely generated over  $B_i$ . Now choose a closed immersion  $U_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{B_i}^n$ , and we map this to  $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

Now cover  $X$  by finitely many such  $U_i$ . Denote  $X_i$  the closure of  $U_i$  in  $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ . Now  $X_i \rightarrow S$  is projective. Let  $U = \bigcap U_i$ , which is still a dense open set in  $X$ . Now look at  $U \rightarrow X \times X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Now take its closure  $X'$ . We have maps  $X' \rightarrow X$  and  $X' \rightarrow X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Let  $X''$  be its image. We want to prove  $X' \simeq X''$ , as then  $X'$  will be projective. This is left as an exercise.  $\square$

### 13.1. Sheaves.

**Definition 13.8.** An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf such that  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module with the appropriate compatibilities.

Now if  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are  $\mathcal{O}_X$  modules, then the kernel, cokernel, image and quotient are  $\mathcal{O}_X$  modules.

**Definition 13.9.** We have an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  by being the sheafification of the one whose sections are  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{G}(U))$ .

In the same way, can define  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 13.10.** An  $\mathcal{O}_X$  module  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free if there is an open cover such that the restrictions of  $\mathcal{F}$  are free.

**Definition 13.11.** An ideal sheaf is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Definition 13.12.** For a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  and a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  (by  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ ).

We also consider  $f^*\mathcal{G} := f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

Moreover,  $f_*, f^*$  are an adjoint pair.

Now for  $X = \text{Spec } A$  and an  $A$ -module  $M$ , we define  $\tilde{M}$  on  $X$  by

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \{s \in \bigsqcup_{p \in U} M_p : \text{it is given by an open cover}\}.$$

**Proposition 13.13.**  $\tilde{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, and if  $p \in X$ ,  $\tilde{M}_p \simeq M_p$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{M}(D(f)) = M_f$ .

**Proposition 13.14.** Let  $f: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ . Then the functor  $M \mapsto \tilde{M}$  is exact and fully faithful, and if  $M, N$  are two  $A$ -modules,  $\widetilde{M \otimes_A N} = \tilde{M} \otimes \tilde{N}$ . Also,  $f_*\tilde{N} = \tilde{N}_A$ , and  $f^*\tilde{M} = \widetilde{(M \otimes_A B)}$

## 14. 24/10/2019

*Proof.* The exactness follows from the exactness at the stalks. To prove it is full, note  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}))$ . Since  $\widetilde{\text{Hom}(M, N)} = \mathcal{H}om(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N})$ , taking global sections give what we want.

The statement about tensor is trivial by looking at the stalks.  $\square$

**Definition 14.1.** For  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  a scheme, a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules is *quasi-coherent* if there is an open cover  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \simeq \tilde{M}_i$ . It is *coherent* if  $M_i$  are all finitely generated.

**Example 14.2.**  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is coherent,  $Y \subseteq X = \text{Spec } A$  given by ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $i_*\mathcal{O}_Y = (\tilde{A}/\mathfrak{a})$ .

But for  $j: U \subseteq X$ ,  $j_!\mathcal{O}_U$  is not quasi-coherent.

For  $X$  an integral affine scheme, let  $K(U) = \{s \in K\} = \tilde{K}$  is quasi-coherent.

**Lemma 14.3.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , and  $f \in A$  with  $D(f) \subseteq X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent, then

- (a) if  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  with  $s|_{D(f)} = 0$  then there is  $n$  such that  $f^n s = 0$ .
- (b)  $t \in \mathcal{F}(D(f))$ , then there is  $n$  such that  $f^n t$  exists in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is covered by  $U_i$  with  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} = \tilde{M}_i$ . Find  $D(g_j) \subseteq U_i$  a basis of the topology. Then restricting  $\tilde{M}_i$  to  $D(g_j)$ , it is also of this form by the previous proposition, we may assume that  $U_i = D(g_i)$ .

For (a), then  $s|_{D(g_i f)} = 0$ , which means there is  $n_i$  with  $f^{n_i} s|_{D(g_i)} = 0$ . Since an affine scheme is quasi-compact, we are done.

For (b), there is  $n_i$  such that  $f^{n_i}s \in \mathcal{F}(D(g_i))$ , so again use that affine schemes are quasi-compact. To see that we can glue, let  $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(D(g_i))$ , and consider  $t_{ij} := t_i - t_j$ . Then  $t_{ij}|_{D(g_i g_j t)} = 0$ . By (a), we can then multiply by a power of  $f$  to make the gluing work.  $\square$

**Proposition 14.4.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent if and only if for any  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq X$  we have  $\mathcal{F}|_{\text{Spec } A} = \tilde{M}$ .

If  $X$  is Noetherian, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent if and only if the same but with  $M$  finitely generated.

*Proof.* Let  $U = \text{Spec } A$ . Then we can cover  $U$  by  $U_i$  affine such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} = \tilde{M}_i$ . Let  $M = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ . This gives a morphism  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . In the same way we have morphisms  $M_{g_i} \rightarrow M_i$ . The proposition above means that  $M_i = M \otimes A_{g_i}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \simeq \tilde{M}$ .

For the coherent case, we just need to prove that if  $M_{g_i}$  are all finitely generated, then  $M$  is also finitely generated.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.5.** For  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $M \mapsto \tilde{M}$  gives an equivalence to quasi-coherent sheaves.

**Proposition 14.6.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . For an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $\mathcal{F}'$  is quasi-coherent, then

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'')$ . Then for any  $x$ , there is  $x \in D(f)$  such that  $s|_{D(f)}$  can be lifted to  $t$ . Then there is some  $n$  such that  $f^n s$  can be lifted to  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . This is because the obstructions lie in  $\mathcal{F}'$ .  $\square$

## 15. 29/10/2019

**Proposition 15.1.** Kernel, cokernel, image, extensions of quasi-coherent sheafs are quasi-coherent.

If  $X$  is Noetherian, the same is true for coherent.

*Proof.* The proof is local, so we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , and then this becomes a statement about finitely generated modules of  $A$ .

For the extension statement, by the previous proposition we have  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module. Then from the morphisms  $\widetilde{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , an application of the five-lemma give us that this is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 15.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism. (a) If  $\mathcal{G}$  is quasi-coherent, then  $f^*\mathcal{G}$  is quasi-coherent. The same for coherent if  $X, Y$  are Noetherian. (b) If either  $X$  is Noetherian or  $f$  is quasi-compact, separated, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent, then  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent.



*Proof.* We can check (a) locally, and so check this for the case  $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$ , and now it is clear, as  $f^*\mathcal{G} = (\widetilde{M \otimes_B A})$  if  $\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{M}$ .

For (b),  $f_*$  is only local on the target, so assume  $Y = \text{Spec } B$ . Cover  $X$  by finitely many  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ . Now  $U_i \cap U_j = \bigcup_k U_{ijk}$  for some finite set of  $k$  (in the separated case,  $U_i \cap U_j$  is affine). Now

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus (f_i)_*\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus (f_{ijk})_*\mathcal{F}|_{U_{ijk}}.$$

Hence  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent since it is the kernel of a map between quasi-coherent.  $\square$

**Definition 15.3.** For a closed immersion  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ , we define the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  by  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ . Note  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  is quasi-coherent.

**Proposition 15.4.** For any quasi-coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ , there is a unique closed subscheme  $Y$  with  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_Y$ . If  $X$  is Noetherian, then  $Y$  is coherent.

*Proof.* Consider  $Y$  to be the support of  $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ . Now consider the closed subscheme  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$ . To check uniqueness, we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , and this is easy.  $\square$

**15.1. Projective setting.** Let  $S$  be a graded ring,  $X = \text{Proj } S$ , and  $M$  a graded module.

**Example 15.5.**  $S(n)$  where  $S(n)_d = S_{n+d}$ .

**Definition 15.6.** We define  $\widetilde{M}$  to be

$$\widetilde{M}(U) = \{s: U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} M_{(p)} \mid \text{locally written as } m/f\}.$$

**Proposition 15.7.** For any  $p \in X$ , we have  $(\widetilde{M})_p = M_{(p)}$ , and if  $f \in S$ ,  $\widetilde{M}|_{D_+(f)} = (\widetilde{M}_{(f)})$  (recall  $D_+(f) = \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$ ). In particular,  $\widetilde{M}$  is quasi-coherent, and if  $S$  is Noetherian and  $M$  finitely generated, then  $\widetilde{M}$  is coherent.

**Definition 15.8.**  $\mathcal{O}_X(n) = \widetilde{S(n)}$ .  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is called the *twisting sheaf of Serre*. We write  $\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ .

**Proposition 15.9.** Assume  $S$  be generated by  $S_1$  as a  $S_0$ -algebra. Then (a)  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  is invertible, (b)  $\widetilde{M(n)} = \widetilde{M}(n)$ , (c) for  $T$  a graded ring generated by  $T_1$  as  $T_0$ -algebra and  $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$ , then there is  $U \subseteq \text{Proj } T$  with a map  $U \rightarrow \text{Proj } S$  with  $U$  corresponding to the ideals  $q \in T$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(q) \not\subseteq S_+$  and  $f^*\mathcal{O}_X(n) = \mathcal{O}_Y(n)|_U$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(n)|_U) = f_*\mathcal{O}_U(n)$ .

*Proof.* (a) If  $f \in S_1$ , then  $D_+(f) = \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)} = \widetilde{S_{(f)}}$  and so  $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}(n) = \{\text{degree } n \text{ elements of } S_{(f)}\}$ . Now the map  $a \mapsto f^n a$  identify these two. Now the condition implies that  $D_+(f)$  cover  $X$ .

(b) follows from  $\widetilde{M \otimes N} = \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widetilde{N}$  and  $M(n) = M \otimes S(n)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 15.10.** For a  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X = \text{Proj } S$ , we define

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n)).$$

This is a graded  $S$ -module by regarding  $s \in S_d$  in  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$ .

## 16. 31/10/2019

**Proposition 16.1.** *Let  $S = A[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  and  $X = \text{Proj } S$ . Then  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = S$ .*

*Proof.* For  $t \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ , we have  $t_i := t|_{D_+(x_i)} \in S_{x_i}$  is a degree  $n$  element, and  $t_i = t_j$  agree on the intersections. We need to prove we can glue  $t_i$  to an element of  $S$ . Looking at them in  $S_{x_0 \dots x_n}$ , we have  $t \in \bigcap S_{x_i} = S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.2.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $\mathcal{L}$  invertible, and consider for  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  the set  $X_f \subseteq X$  such that  $X_f = \{x: f_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{L}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf. (a) Suppose  $X$  is quasi-compact, and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $s|_{X_f} = 0$ , then there is  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n \otimes s = 0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ . (b) Suppose  $X$  can be covered by finitely many affine  $U_i$  with  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$  such that  $U_i \cap U_j$  is quasi-compact. If  $s \in \Gamma(X_f, \mathcal{F})$ , there is  $n$  such that  $f^n \otimes s$  can be extended to  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Since  $X$  is quasi-compact, cover  $X$  by finitely many  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$  that trivialize  $\mathcal{L}$ . Now  $f|_{U_i}$  corresponds to a  $g_i \in A_i$ . Then  $0 = s|_{X_f \cap U_i} = s|_{\text{Spec}(A_i)_{g_i}}$ . By the affine case there is  $n_i$  such that  $g_i^{n_i} s = 0$ . Choose  $n$  to be the maximum of them, and then  $f^n \otimes s = 0$ .

(b) Is similar to the above, and use (a) to make the intersections agree by increasing  $n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 16.3.** *Let  $S$  be a graded ring generated by  $S_1$  and with  $S_1$  a finitely generated  $S_0$ -algebra. Let  $X = \text{Proj } S$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\beta: \widetilde{\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* First we define  $\beta$  for any  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Take  $f \in S_1$  and look at  $D_+(f) = X_f$  (as  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ ). For  $t \in \Gamma(X_f, \widetilde{\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F})})$ , it is of the form  $m/f^d$  for  $m \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(d))$ . Since  $1/f \in \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$ , we have  $m/f^d \in \Gamma(X_f, \mathcal{F})$ . This means that we can glue these to form the map  $\beta$ .

That it is an isomorphism when  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent by the previous lemma (injective by (a) and surjective by (b)).  $\square$

**Corollary 16.4.** *Let  $A$  be a ring. (a) If  $Y$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^r_A$ , then there is a homogeneous ideal  $I \subseteq S = A[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  such that  $Y$  is the closed subscheme determined by  $I$ . (b) A scheme  $Y$  over  $\text{Spec } A$  is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to  $\text{Proj } S$  for some graded ring  $S$  with  $S_0 = A$  and  $S$  is  $S_0$ -generated by  $S_1$ .*

*Proof.* (a)  $Y$  is determined by an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_Y$ . Then  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{I}_Y) \subseteq \Gamma_*(\mathcal{O}) = S$ , so  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{I}_Y)$  is a graded ideal, which is what we wanted. Now (b) follows easily.  $\square$

**Definition 16.5.** Let  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r_Y$  be the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

**Definition 16.6.** Consider  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  is very ample relative to  $Y$  if there is an immersion (open set of closed immersion)  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r_Y$  such that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)|_X$ .

*Remark 16.7.*  $X$  is projective over  $Y$  if and only if  $X$  is proper over  $Y$  and there exist a very ample line bundle. (as proper implies that any immersion  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r_Y$  is closed)

**Definition 16.8.** An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is *globally generated* if one can find global sections  $s_i$  such that the sheaf at any point is generated by such sections.

**Example 16.9.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent on  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , then it is globally generated. For  $X = \text{Proj } S$ , and  $S$  generated by  $S_1$  as  $S_0$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is globally generated.

**Theorem 16.10** (Serre). *Let  $X$  be a projective scheme over a Noetherian  $A$  with  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  very ample on  $X/A$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf, then for all  $n$  sufficiently large,  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is globally generated.*

*Proof.*  $X$  can be covered by  $X_{x_i}$  by assumption. Then  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{x_i}}$  becomes a coherent sheaf on an affine, so is generated by finitely many elements. As  $x_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(1))$ , there is  $n$  large such that  $x_i^n \otimes m$  can be extended to global sections. Then taking  $m$  to be these finitely many generators, this proves that there is  $n$  large such that  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is globally generated.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.11.** *Any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quotient sheaf of some  $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(n_i)$  for some  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

17. 05/11/2019

**Theorem 17.1.** *Let  $K$  be a field,  $A$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and  $X$  a projective scheme over  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* We proved that there is  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is generated by finitely many global sections of  $M = \Gamma_*(\mathcal{F})$ . Look at the  $S$ -submodule  $M' \subseteq M$  generated by the sections above. Then  $\tilde{M}' \subseteq \tilde{M} = \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tilde{M}'(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$ , which implies  $\tilde{M}' = \mathcal{F}$ . So assume  $M = M'$  is finitely generated and  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$ .

Now write  $M = M^R \supset \dots \supset M^0 = 0$  with  $M^{i+1}/M^i \simeq (S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(n_i)$ . From the exact sequence  $\tilde{M}^i \rightarrow \tilde{M}^{i+1} \rightarrow (\widetilde{M^{i+1}/M^i}) \rightarrow 0$ , take global sections and then we are reduced to prove to the case  $M = (S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(n_i)$ . We can assume  $S$  is integral by replacing  $S$  to  $S/\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $X = \text{Proj } S$ . So it suffices to prove that  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$  is finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then  $S \hookrightarrow \bigcap S_i \hookrightarrow S_{x_0 \dots x_n}$ , and so for any  $y \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$ , there is  $m$  such that  $x_i^m y \in S$ . So for  $m$  sufficiently large we have  $S_{\geq m} y \subseteq S_{\geq m}$ , and then this is also true by replacing  $y$  to a power of  $y$ . Choosing  $y = x_0^m$ , we get  $y^i \in (x_0^m)^{-1} S$ , and so  $S[y]$  is finitely generated, and so  $y$  is integral, so  $y \in S'$  (the integral closure of  $S$ ). Since  $S'$  is a finite module over  $S$ , this means  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$  is a finite  $S_0$ -module.  $\square$

**Corollary 17.2.** *If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a projective morphism between schemes of finite type over  $k$ , then if  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent, so is  $f_*\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $Y$  is affine and apply the theorem above.  $\square$

### 17.1. Weil divisors.

**Definition 17.3.**  $X$  is regular in codimension 1 if for any point  $p \in X$  of codimension 1, we have  $\mathcal{O}_{p,X}$  is regular.

We assume for this section that  $X$  is Noetherian integral and regular in codimension 1. ( $\star$ )

**Definition 17.4.** A prime divisor on  $X$  is the closure of a height 1 prime ideal.

**Definition 17.5.** The divisor group  $\text{Div}(X)$  is the free abelian group generated by prime divisors.

For a prime divisor  $Y$ , let  $\eta$  be its generic point. By ( $\star$ ),  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta,X}$  is a DVR. Now for any  $f \in K^\times$ , we have a well-defined integer  $\nu_Y(f)$ .

**Lemma 17.6.** *For any  $f \in K^\times$ ,  $f$  has only finitely many zeroes.*

*Proof.* Choose  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq X$  such that  $f$  is regular on  $\text{Spec } A$ . Then  $X \setminus \text{Spec } A$  has only finitely many codimension 1 points, since  $X$  is Noetherian. Now for  $f \in A$  we know that  $Z(f)$  contains finitely many codimension 1 components.

Now note that if  $Y$  is a zero of  $f$ , then  $Y$  is either in  $Z(f)$  or  $X \setminus \text{Spec } A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 17.7.** The principal divisors are of the form  $(f) := \sum_Y \nu_Y(f)Y$  for some  $f \in K^\times$ . We say  $D \sim D'$  if  $D - D'$  is principal.

**Definition 17.8.** The class group is defined by  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\sim$ .

**Theorem 17.9.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian domain. Then  $A$  is a UFD if and only if  $\text{Cl}(\text{Spec } A) = 0$  and  $A$  is normal.

*Proof.* UFD is the same as any height 1 prime being principal, which translates to  $\text{Cl}(\text{Spec } A) = 0$ .

If  $\text{Cl}(\text{Spec } A) = 0$ , then there is  $f \in K^\times$  giving the prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $(f)$  is effective, we have  $f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}'} A_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}'$  height one, which is simply  $A$  because  $A$  is normal. Then for  $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have by the same reasoning that  $g/f \in A$ , and hence we can conclude  $(f)$  is the prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

**Example 17.10.** If  $A$  is a Dedekind domain,  $\text{Cl}(\text{Spec } A)$  is the ideal class group.

**Proposition 17.11.** For  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $D$  a divisor in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , then if  $H$  is the hypersurface  $(x_0 = 0)$ , we have  $D \sim \text{deg}(D)H$  and that  $\text{deg}(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in K^\times$ . In particular,  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* This follows easily from the unique factorization in homogeneous elements of  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ .  $\square$

## 18. 12/11/2019

**Proposition 18.1.** Assume  $X$  satisfies  $(\star)$ . Let  $Z \subseteq X$  be a proper closed subset. Let  $U = X \setminus Z$ . Then (a)  $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U)$  is surjective, (b) if  $\text{codim}_Z X \geq 2$ , then  $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U)$  is an isomorphism, (c) if  $Z$  is a prime divisor, then  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) is easy: for any prime divisor  $Y$  on  $U$ , then  $\bar{Y}$  is a prime divisor on  $X$  that maps to  $Y$ . Now note principal divisors get mapped to principal divisors.

(b) Follows from (a) since  $\text{Div}(X) = \text{Div}(U)$ .

(c) We have  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(U) \rightarrow 0$ , and this induces the sequence we want.  $\square$

**Example 18.2.** Let  $Y$  be a degree  $d$  curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $U = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus Y$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then by what we saw about  $\mathbb{P}^n$  we have that  $\text{Cl}(U) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 18.3.** Let  $A = k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$  and  $X = \text{Spec } A$  (cone over a conic). Let  $Y$  be given by  $y = z = 0$ . Now

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $X \setminus Y = \text{Spec } A_y$ , and  $A_y \simeq k[y, z]_y$  is a UFD, so  $\text{Cl}(X \setminus Y) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is generated by  $[Y]$ . Also, we have  $(y) = 2Y$ . Now we see  $Y$  is not principal. Since  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subseteq A$  has  $\dim(\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2) = 3$ , and  $y, z$  span a 2-dimensional subspace, the ideal  $(y, z)$  cannot be principal in  $A$ .

**Proposition 18.4.** *Let  $X$  satisfying  $(\star)$ . Then  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  also does, and  $\text{Cl}(X) \simeq \text{Cl}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $Y \subseteq X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is such that the image to  $X$  is a divisor  $Z$ , then  $Y = Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . We know the localization at  $\eta(Z)$  is a DVR  $R$ , and then the localization at  $\eta(Y)$  will be  $R[t]_{\eta(Y)}$ , which is a DVR since  $R[t]$  is regular. Now let  $Y \subseteq X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that the image of  $Y$  in  $X$  is the entire  $X$ . This case is easier. Hence  $(\star)$  holds for  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ .

We have a morphism  $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ . The image is the divisor of the first type (vertical divisors). Now note that for a horizontal divisor  $D$ , we have  $D|_{\text{Spec } K[t]} = (f)$  since  $K[t]$  is a UFD, and then  $D - (f)$  is a vertical divisor. Hence the map is surjective.

For injection, we just evaluate the function at  $t = 0$  (in fact the functions are already in  $K$ , since they are units).  $\square$

**Example 18.5.** Consider  $Q = (xy = zw) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ . We have that  $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  in the Segre embedding. Then  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is an isomorphism, and the kernel of the second map is generated by  $* \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which is the image of the pullback of the first projection. Hence  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 18.6.** Let  $X$  be a smooth cubic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Then  $\text{Cl}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$ .

**Example 18.7.** Consider  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ . We want to define a morphism  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^3) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(Q)$ . For a prime divisor  $D$  with  $Q \not\subseteq D$ , then we cover  $\mathbb{P}^3$  by affine spaces such that  $D|_{\mathbb{A}_i^3} = (f_i)$ , and then we can associate a divisor  $(f_i)|_{Q \cap \mathbb{A}_i^3}$ . Divisors can be translated to not contain  $Q$ . Taking the generator to be  $(x = 0)$ , we have the two lines  $(x = z = 0)$  and  $(x = w = 0)$ , and so the image will be  $(1, 1)$  under the Segre embedding.

**Example 18.8.** Consider  $C = (t^3, u^3, t^2u, tu^2)$ . Then  $C \subseteq Q$ , and  $Q \cap (yz = w^2) = C \cup (y = w = 0)$ , and so  $C$  has class  $(1, 2)$  in  $\text{Cl}(Q)$ . So  $C$  cannot be given as the intersection of  $Q$  with a surface.

## 19. 14/11/2019

**Definition 19.1.** For  $k = \bar{k}$ , a curve over  $k$  is an integral separated finite type scheme over  $k$  of dimension 1. We say  $X$  is *complete* if  $X$  is proper, and *smooth* if all local rings are regular.

**Proposition 19.2.** *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular curve over  $k$ . Then the following are equivalent: (1)  $X$  is projective, (2)  $X$  is complete, (3)  $X = t(C_K)$ .*

*Proof.* We have already seen  $(3) \implies (1) \implies (2)$ . The remaining implication follows at once from the valuative criterion of properness.  $\square$

**Proposition 19.3.** *Let  $X$  be a complete nonsingular curve and  $Y$  any curve over  $k$ , and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism. Then either  $f(X) = p \in Y$  or  $f(X) = Y$ . In the second case,  $K(X)$  is a finite extension of  $K(Y)$  and  $Y$  is complete.*

*Proof.* We now  $f(X) \subseteq Y$  is closed since  $X$  is proper and  $Y$  is separated. Since the image is irreducible, it follows that it is either a point or surjective. If  $f(X) = Y$ , then  $K(Y) \hookrightarrow K(X)$  is a field extension. Since they have the same transcendental degree, it is finite.  $Y$  is also complete since the image of proper is proper.  $\square$

**Definition 19.4.** For  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a finite morphism of curves, we define  $\deg(f) = [K(X): K(Y)]$ .

For  $X$  smooth and  $D$  a divisor on  $X$ , we have  $D = \sum n_i P_i$  and we call  $\deg(D) = \sum n_i$ .

**Definition 19.5.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism between smooth curves. Then we define  $f^*: \text{Cl}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$  the pullback on the level of divisors. It is given by

$$f^*\left(\sum n_P P\right) = \sum_{Q \in X} m(Q|f(Q)) \cdot n_{f(Q)} Q$$

where  $m$  is the valuation of a parameter of  $\mathcal{O}_{f(Q)}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_Q$

**Proposition 19.6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism of nonsingular curves. Then for any  $D$  on  $Y$ , we have  $\deg(f^*D) = \deg(f) \deg(D)$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that for  $P \in Y$ , that  $\sum_{f(Q)=P} m(Q|P) = \deg(f)$ . But looking locally around  $P$ , we can consider an affine map  $A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{p,Y} \simeq A_p$ . As  $A_p$  is a DVR and  $B_p$  is a torsion-free module, then  $B_p$  is a free module, and its rank is the degree of  $f$ . Now we note that  $\dim_{A_p/p}(B_p \otimes A_p/p) = \sum m$ , by the Chinese remainder theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 19.7.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complete curve. Then  $\deg(f) = 0$  for any  $f \in K(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Any  $f \in K(X)$  gives a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$ , and then  $(f) = \pi^*(0) - \pi^*(\infty)$ , and this proves that  $\deg(f) = 0$ .

Completeness of  $X$  is necessary for  $\pi$  to be finite. □

Hence for a complete smooth curve, we have a well-defined map  $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by the degree. We call its kernel  $\text{Cl}^0(X)$ .

**Corollary 19.8.**  *$X$  is a rational curve if and only if there are  $P \neq Q$  with  $P \sim Q$ .*

**Example 19.9.** Consider the nonsingular curve  $E: y^2z = x^3 - xz^2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . We will prove  $\text{Cl}^0(E) \simeq E(k)$ .

*Proof.* Choose the point  $P_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ , and define the map  $E(k) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(E)$  by  $P \mapsto P - P_0$ . By the previous corollary, this is injective since  $E$  is not  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The tangent line through  $P_0$  intersects  $P_0$  three times, and so  $3P_0 \sim P + Q + R$  for three points  $P, Q, R$  in a line. This can be used to reduce any divisor to the form  $P - P_0$ . □

**19.1. Cartier divisors.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian separated scheme. Consider  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq X$ , and  $S$  the set of nonzero-divisors of  $A$ . Call  $K = S^{-1}A$ , the *total ring* of  $A$ .

Thinking of  $K$  as a sheaf, we can consider the presheaf  $U \mapsto S^{-1}(U)\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}(U))$ . Sheafifying, we call it  $\mathcal{K}$ , and we have sheafs of abelian groups  $\mathcal{K}^*$  and  $\mathcal{O}^*$ .

**Definition 19.10.** For a Noetherian separated scheme  $X$ , a *Cartier divisor* is an element of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}^*)$ .

**Proposition 19.11.** *Let  $X$  be an integral separated scheme, and assume  $(\star)$ . Then there is a morphism  $\text{Cartier}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ , and is isomorphic if all local rings are UFDs. This induces a map on the class groups.*

20. 19/11/2019

*Proof.* Given a Cartier divisor, we can find a finite open cover  $U_i$  such the Cartier divisor is given by  $f_i$  in  $U_i$ . Define  $D_i$  to be  $(f_i)$ . Then  $D_i - D_j = (f_i/f_j) = 0$ . This means that they glue to a divisor  $D$  on  $X$ .

Now given a Weil divisor  $D$ , for any  $p \in X$  we have  $D|_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{p,X}} = (f)$  is principal (as  $\mathcal{O}_{p,X}$  is a UFD). So there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $f$  is defined on  $U$ . Then  $D - (f) \subseteq U$  has



no components in  $p$ . Shrinking  $U$  further, we have  $D|_U = (f)|_U$ . Taking a cover like so, we have a Cartier divisor.  $\square$

### 20.1. Invertible sheaves.

**Definition 20.1.** An invertible sheaf is a locally free sheaf of rank 1.

**Definition 20.2.** The Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is the group of invertible sheaves up to isomorphism.

Note that we have  $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^\times)$ , and so the Cartier class group injects to  $\text{Pic}(X)$ .

**Proposition 20.3.** *Let  $X$  be integral Noetherian separated. Then  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is the same as the Cartier class group.*

*Proof.* In the cohomology language,  $\mathcal{K}^\times$  is flasque in the case  $X$  is integral Noetherian separated, to  $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\times) = 0$ .

The image of the Cartier class group is the invertible sheaves that are subsheaves of  $\mathcal{K}^\times$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf, we have  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{K}$  locally, and this is an isomorphism globally since  $X$  is integral. Hence  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 20.4.** *If  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ , then all invertible sheaves are isomorphic to some  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ .*

**Definition 20.5.** A Cartier divisor  $(U_i, f_i)$  is *effective* if  $f_i \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$ .

**Proposition 20.6.** *If  $D \in \text{Cartier}(X)$  is effective, then it corresponds to a subscheme, and then  $\mathcal{I}_D \simeq \mathcal{L}(-D)$ .*

**20.2. Projective morphisms.** Given a morphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$ , we can consider  $x_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and pullback to  $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Then  $s_i = \varphi^*(x_i) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  generate  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Proposition 20.7.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $X$  a scheme over  $A$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf with generators  $s_0, \dots, s_n$ , then there exist a morphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$  with  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathcal{L}$  and  $\varphi^*(x_i) = s_i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_i = \{p: (s_i)_p \notin \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}\}$ . This is an open set, and we define a morphism  $X_i \rightarrow U_i = \text{Spec } A[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i]$  by the map on global sections  $x_j/x_i \mapsto s_j/s_i$ . Now it is clear that they glue together.  $\square$

**Example 20.8.** For any  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ , we have  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  since it generated the Picard group and has a section. So  $\varphi$  is determined by a choice of generators of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , and these are in correspondence with  $\text{PGL}(n+1)$ .

**Example 20.9.** In general, for an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  and set of sections  $s_i$ , we can define a morphism from  $X \setminus Z$  where  $Z = \{p: s_i \in \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}\}$ .

**Proposition 20.10.** *If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$  is given by sections  $s_i$ , then  $\varphi$  is a closed immersion iff  $X_i$  are affine and  $A[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i] \rightarrow \Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i})$  are surjective.*

## 21. 21/11/2019

**Proposition 21.1.** *Let  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $X$  a projective scheme over  $k$ . Consider  $s_0, \dots, s_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  that induce a  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Let  $V \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  be spanned by  $s_i$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a closed immersion iff (1)  $V$  separates points, in the sense that for  $p \neq q$ , there is  $s \in V$  such that  $s \notin \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{m}_q \mathcal{L}$  and (2)  $V$  separates the tangent directions, in the sense that for  $p \in X$ ,  $\{s \in V: s \in \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}\}$  spans  $\mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L} / \mathfrak{m}_p^2 \mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) is equivalent to  $\varphi$  being injective.

Since  $X$  is projective,  $X \rightarrow \varphi(X)$  is closed, and so (given (1)) is a homeomorphism. We have to show  $\mathcal{O}_{\varphi(x), \mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x, X}$  is a surjection. The residue fields are isomorphic and the dual of tangent spaces also map isomorphically. Together with being a finitely generated morphism this implies it is surjective: By Nakayama the maximal ideal maps exactly to the maximal ideal, and by Nakayama again this implies it is surjective. □

### 21.1. Ample invertible sheaves.

**Definition 21.2.** An invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is *ample* on a Noetherian scheme  $X$  if for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  we have  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is globally generated for all  $n \gg 0$ .

**Example 21.3.** If  $X$  is affine, then any coherent sheaf is globally generated.

**Example 21.4.** Very ample are ample in projective spaces.

**Proposition 21.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent: (1)  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, (2)  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$  is ample for any  $m > 0$ , (3) there is  $m > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$  is ample.*

*Proof.* The only problem is (3)  $\implies$  (1). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf. Look at  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$  and we are done. □

**Theorem 21.6.** *Let  $X$  be a finite type scheme over a Noetherian ring  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf. The  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample if and only if there exist a sufficiently large  $m$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$  is very ample over  $A$  for some  $m$ .*

*Proof.* The converse follows from the previous proposition once we prove very ample implies ample. Let  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$  an immersion. Then  $X$  may not be closed (if it was, Serre's lemma). Consider  $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$ . Then by Ex 5.15 we can extend a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $X$  to one in  $\overline{X}$ . Then Serre's lemma on  $\overline{X}$  gives us what we wanted.

Let  $p \in X$  and  $U$  an affine neighborhood trivializing  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $Z = X \setminus U$ . Consider  $n_Z$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_Z}$  is globally generated. So find a section  $s$  with  $s \notin \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_Z}$ . Consider the open set  $X_s$ . Then  $X_s \subseteq U$ . As  $U$  is affine, we have  $X_s \simeq U_f$  where  $f = s|_U$ , hence  $X_s$  is also affine. Since  $X$  is Noetherian, we can cover  $X$  by finitely many such  $X_s$ , and choose  $n = n_Z$  for all of them. Let  $X_{s_i} = \text{Spec } A[b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ij}]$ . We know that for each  $b_{ij}$  there is  $n$  such that  $b_{ij}s_i^n$  extends to a section of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ . Choosing  $n$  large again, we can take all such sections and use them to embed into a projective space.  $\square$

**Example 21.7.** Consider  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ . Then  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  and if  $(a, b)$  is an element with  $a, b > 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{a+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{b+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  is a closed embedding. These are precisely the very ample ones and ample ones.

**Example 21.8.** Consider  $y^2 = x^3 - x$  in projective space. Then  $3P_0$  is very ample, but  $P_0$  is only ample.

21.2. **Linear systems.** If  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , for a section  $s$  we can define the zero locus  $(s)_0$ .

## 22. 26/11/2019

Let  $X$  be a nonsingular (will be used only to identify Weil and Cartier divisor, most things still work with Cartier divisors) projective variety over  $k = \bar{k}$ .

**Proposition 22.1.** Let  $D_0$  be a divisor on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  the associated invertible sheaf. For  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  nonzero, we have  $(s)_0 \sim D_0$ , and every effective divisor equivalent to  $D_0$  comes from such a  $s$ .

**Definition 22.2.** A complete linear system on a nonsingular projective variety is defined as the set of all effective divisors linear equivalent to a given divisor  $D_0$ , denoted by  $|D_0|$ . Note  $|D_0| \simeq \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}))$ .

**Definition 22.3.** A linear system is a subspace  $V \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ , with corresponding  $|V| \subseteq |D_0|$ .

**Definition 22.4.**  $p \in X$  is a base point of a linear system  $\delta$  if  $p \in D$  for all  $D \in \delta$ .

**Lemma 22.5.** *Let  $\delta$  be a linear system on  $X$  corresponding to  $V \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ . Then  $p \in X$  is a base point if and only if  $s_p \in \mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}$  for all  $s \in \delta$ . In particular,  $\delta$  is base point free if and only if  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated by elements of  $\delta$ .*

*Remark 22.6.*  $\delta$  separate points if and only if for any  $P \neq Q$  there is  $D \in \delta$  with  $P \in D, Q \notin D$ .  $\delta$  separates tangent directions if and only if for any  $p$  and  $t \in (\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)^\vee$  there is  $p \in D \in \delta$  and  $t \notin \text{Im}((\mathfrak{m}_{p,D}/\mathfrak{m}_{p,D}^2)^\vee \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)^\vee)$ .

**Definition 22.7.** For  $Y \subseteq X$  and a  $\delta$  on  $X$ , we consider the restricted linear system  $\delta|_Y$ , which correspond to the image under  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, f^* \mathcal{L})$ .

**22.1. Proj sheaves and blow-up.** We assume for this section that  $X$  is a Noetherian scheme and a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_d$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra. Assume that  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is a coherent sheaf and generates  $\mathcal{S}$  as a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra.

**Definition 22.8.** We define  $\pi: \text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$  such that for  $U = \text{Spec } A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\pi|_U: \text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_d = \mathcal{S}_d|_U$ . Moreover, the invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  glue to  $\text{Proj } \mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 22.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  defined by  $\mathcal{S}'_d = \mathcal{S}_d \otimes \mathcal{L}^d$ . Then  $i: \text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Proj } \mathcal{S}'$  but  $\mathcal{O}(1)' = i^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$ .*

**Proposition 22.10.**  *$\text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$  is a proper morphism. If  $X$  is quasi-projective with ample  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$  is projective and  $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$  is very ample for  $n \gg 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is easy since we can check locally. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample,  $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is globally generated for  $n \gg 0$ . □

*Remark 22.11.* Using the definition of projective in EGA,  $\text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$  is always projective. So  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ , and taking  $\text{proj}$  we get  $\text{Proj } \mathcal{S} \simeq \text{Proj}(\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_X^m$ .

**Definition 22.12.** Let  $X$  Noetherian and  $\mathcal{E}$  a locally free sheaf of rank  $r+1$ . We define  $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$  to be  $\text{Proj} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{Sym}^d \mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 22.13.** *Let  $X, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  be as above. Then  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}(l) \simeq \text{Sym}^l \mathcal{E}$ , and also  $\pi^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ .*

Let  $X$  be Noetherian and  $\mathcal{F}$  an ideal sheaf, and consider  $\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{F}^d$ .

**Definition 22.14.** We define the blow up along  $\mathcal{F}$  to be  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}} X := \text{Proj } \mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 22.15.** Given  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ideal sheaf on  $X$ , we consider  $f^{-1}\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$  to be the image of  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ .

**Proposition 22.16.** *If  $\pi: \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}}X \rightarrow X$ , then  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  is invertible. Moreover,  $\pi$  is isomorphic outside  $Z(\mathcal{F})$ .*

*Proof.* This is because  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ . □

23. 03/12/2019

**Proposition 23.1** (Universal property of blow up). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ideal sheaf on a Noetherian  $X$ . If  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  is such that  $f^{-1}\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z$  is invertible, then there is a unique  $\tilde{f}$  such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}}X \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , so that  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{I}$  for some  $I \subseteq A$ . Choose generators  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  of  $I$ , giving a surjection  $A[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I^i$ , so that we have  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}}X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A^n$ .

Consider the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L} := f^{-1}\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z$ . Define  $s_i$  as the image of  $a_i$ . The pullback of  $x_i$  to  $Z$  generate  $\mathcal{L}$ , so we have  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$  by  $x_i \mapsto s_i$ , with  $\varphi^*\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{L}$ . Now it is easy to check that this factors through the blow up.

To prove uniqueness, we have  $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}}X}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z = \tilde{f}^{-1}\mathcal{O}(1) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z$ . Since  $\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}(1)$  surjects into that, we must have  $g^*\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{L}$ , and we can see this is given by the construction above. □

**Corollary 23.2.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  an ideal sheaf on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  the corresponding ideal sheaf in  $Y$ . Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}_Y}Y & \longrightarrow & \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{F}}X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

*Moreover, if  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a closed embedding, so is the upper arrow.*

**Proposition 23.3.** *If  $X$  is a variety over  $k$  and  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a blow up, then  $\tilde{X}$  is a variety,  $\pi$  is proper, surjective and birational. If  $X$  is quasi-projective, then  $\tilde{X}$  is quasi-projective.*

*Proof.* Integrality is clear from the construction. Since  $\pi$  is proper, surjective and finite type, this implies  $\tilde{X}$  is a variety. It is birational since it is isomorphic outside  $V(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $X$  is quasi-projective, then  $\pi$  is projective, and so  $\tilde{X}$  is quasi-projective.  $\square$

**Theorem 23.4.** *Let  $X$  a quasi-projective variety, and  $Z$  another variety with  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  projective birational. Then  $f$  is a blow up.*

*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is projective, consider  $g: Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^n$  and let  $\mathcal{L} := g^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Now we find  $e$  such that  $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} f_*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes de}$  is generated by degree 1 elements. Since  $X$  is Noetherian, this is a local question. When  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , let  $S = A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  and  $Z = \text{Proj } S/I$ . Let  $T = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} f_*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ . The map  $S \rightarrow T$  is an isomorphism in high enough degree. This implies there is such  $e$ . (This is the same as changing  $\mathbb{P}_X^n$  via the  $e$ -tuple embedding, and we assume this in what follow)

Now  $Z = \text{Proj } \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} f_*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ . Since  $Z$  is integral,  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_Z$ . Then  $f_*\mathcal{L} \subseteq f_*\mathcal{K}_Z = \mathcal{K}_X$  since  $f$  is birational. Consider an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  the denominator of  $f_*\mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{a \in \mathcal{O}(U) : a \cdot f_*\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(U)\}.$$

Since  $X$  is quasi-projective, it has an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{M}$ . Choose  $e$  such that  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes e} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  has a nonzero section. Then  $\mathcal{M}^{-e} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , and this is an injection since  $X$  is integral. Then  $\mathcal{M}^{-e} \otimes f_*\mathcal{L}$  maps to an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\text{Proj } \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^d = Z$  since  $\mathcal{M}^{-e}$  is invertible.  $\square$

### 23.1. Differentials.

**Definition 23.5.** For  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra, we say a  $A$ -module morphism  $d: B \rightarrow M$  for a  $B$ -module  $M$  is a *derivation* if it is linear,  $d(bb') = bd(b') + d(b)b'$  and  $d(a) = 0$ .

**Definition 23.6.** The *module of relative differentials*  $B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}$  satisfies the universal property that any derivation  $B \rightarrow M$  factors uniquely through  $\Omega_{B/A}$ .

**Proposition 23.7.** *Consider  $f: B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$  and let  $I = \ker f$ . Then  $d: B \rightarrow I/I^2$  given by  $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_{B/A}$ .*

**Proposition 23.8.** *The formation of  $\Omega_{B/A}$  commutes with tensor products and localizations.*

**Proposition 23.9** (First exact sequence). *For an exact  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ , we have an exact sequence of  $C$ -modules*

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$$

**Proposition 23.10** (Second exact sequence). *Given  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $C = B/I$ , we have an exact sequence as  $C$ -modules*

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow 0$$

where the first map is given by  $I \otimes B/I \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes B/I$  mapping  $b \in I$  to  $d(b) \otimes 1$ .

**Theorem 23.11.** *If  $K$  is a finitely generated field over  $k$ , then  $\dim_K \Omega_{K/k} \geq \text{tr.deg}(K/k)$ . Equality holds if and only if  $K/k$  is separable.*

24. 05/12/2019

**Proposition 24.1.** *Let  $B$  be a local rings containing its residue field  $k$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B k$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By the second exact sequence, we have  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B k \rightarrow 0$ . We show that the dual is surjective. Indeed, the dual map is  $\text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/k}, k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$ , and the first is  $\text{Der}(B, k)$ . Now given  $h: \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow k$ , consider the derivation  $\delta$  that takes  $b = c + a$  with  $a \in k, c \in \mathfrak{m}$  to  $\delta(b) = h(c)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 24.2.** *Let  $B$  be a local ring containing its residue field  $k$ . Assume  $K = K(B)$  is separable over  $k$  and that  $B$  is the localization of a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\Omega_{B/k}$  is a free  $B$ -module of rank  $= \dim B$  if and only if  $B$  is a regular local ring.*

*Proof.*  $\implies$  is trivial by the last proposition.

For the converse, by the last proposition we have  $\dim_k \Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B k = \dim B$ . Let  $K = K(B)$ . Then  $\Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B K = \Omega_{K/k} \geq \text{tr.deg}(K/k)$  with equality if and only if  $K/k$  is separated. From the  $k$  part, by Nakayama we have  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow B^{\dim B} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow 0$ , and tensoring with  $K$  gives that  $N$  is torsion, and hence is trivial.  $\square$

**Definition 24.3.** For  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , consider the diagonal  $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times_Y X$ , and  $U \subseteq X \times_Y X$  an open set with  $X \hookrightarrow U$  a closed immersion. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the ideal sheaf of this immersion,  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^2$  does not depend on  $U$ , and we define  $\Omega_{X/Y} = \Delta^*(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^2)$ . This is the *sheaf of differentials*.

*Remark 24.4.* Affine locally, this gives the Kähler differentials. Moreover,  $\Omega_{X/Y}$  is quasi-coherent. If  $Y$  is Noetherian and  $f$  is finite type, then  $\Omega_{X/Y}$  is coherent.

*Remark 24.5.* From the discussion above, if  $X' = X \times_{Y'} Y$ , and  $g: X' \rightarrow X$ , then  $g^* \Omega_{X/Y} = \Omega_{X'/Y'}$ .

**Proposition 24.6.** For  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ , we have

$$f^*\Omega_{Y/Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow 0$$

and if  $W \hookrightarrow X$  closed with ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , then

$$\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \Omega_{Z/Y} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Example 24.7.** Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_A^n$  and  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ . Then  $\Omega_{X/Y}$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module generated by  $dx_i$ .

**Proposition 24.8.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}_A^n$  and  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ . Then

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S = A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $E = S(-1)^{n+1}$ , with generators  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  with degree 1. Considering the map  $e_i \rightarrow x_i$ , we get  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $U_i$  be the standard open sets. Then  $M_{x_i}$  is a free module generated by  $\frac{1}{x_i}e_j - \frac{x_j}{x_i^2}e_i$ . Now the differentials at  $U_i$  are  $d(x_j/x_i) = \frac{dx_j}{x_i} - \frac{x_j}{x_i^2}dx_i$  formally, and so the formal map  $e_i \rightarrow dx_i$  show that  $\frac{1}{x_i}e_j - \frac{x_j}{x_i^2}e_i \mapsto d(x_j/x_i)$  glues to a global map.  $\square$

**Definition 24.9.**  $X$  is *nonsingular* if it is locally Noetherian and all local rings are regular.

**Theorem 24.10.** Let  $R$  be a local regular ring. Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is still local regular for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Corollary 24.11.**  $X$  is nonsingular if and only if it is regular in all closed points.

**Theorem 24.12.** Let  $X$  be finite type, irreducible and separated over an algebraically closed field. Then  $\Omega_{X/k}$  is locally free of rank equals to  $\dim X$  if and only if  $X$  is nonsingular over  $k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  be a closed point, and  $B = \mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ . Then  $\Omega_{X/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} B = \Omega_{B/k}$ , and the statement follows from the previous corollary and the local study we did before.  $\square$

**Corollary 24.13.** If  $X$  is a variety over algebraically closed field  $k$ , then there is a nontrivial open  $U$  where it is nonsingular.

*Proof.*  $\Omega_{X/k} \otimes K = \Omega_{K/k}$  is free of dimension  $\dim X$ . Since  $\Omega_{X/k}$  is coherent, then there is  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega_{U/k}$  is locally free of rank  $\dim X$ .  $\square$



**Theorem 24.14.** *Let  $X$  be nonsingular and  $Y \subseteq X$  an irreducible closed subscheme. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the ideal sheaf. Then  $Y$  is nonsingular if and only if (1)  $\Omega_{Y/k}$  is locally free and (2)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_{Y/k} \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Moreover, in the above case then  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally generated by  $r := \text{codim}(Y, X)$  elements, and  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^2$  is a locally free sheaf of rank  $r$  on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Assume (1) and (2). Let  $n = \dim X$ . By (2) and Nakayama, on a closed point  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by  $n - q$  elements where  $q = \text{rank}(\Omega_{Y/k})$ . So  $\dim Y \geq n - (n - q) = q$ . Now  $\Omega_{Y/k} \otimes k \simeq \mathfrak{m}_Y/\mathfrak{m}_Y^2$ , and so  $\dim Y \leq q$ . Hence  $Y$  is nonsingular. Moreover, we proved the second statement.

For the converse, the kernel of  $\varphi: \Omega_{X/k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_{Y/k}$  is locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of rank  $r := \dim X - \dim Y$ . So we can choose  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $dx_1, \dots, dx_r$  generate  $\ker \varphi$ . Choose  $Y'$  to be the vanishing locus of  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . Then  $Y \subseteq Y'$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F}'^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y'/k}$  where  $\mathcal{F}'_x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . Then this is actually exact. Then  $Y'$  satisfies (1) and (2) and hence is nonsingular. And  $\dim Y = \dim Y'$ . So  $Y = Y'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 24.15** (Bertini). *If  $X$  is nonsingular and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  closed, then there exist a hyperplane  $H$  such that  $X \cap H$  is regular at every point.*

## 25. 10/12/2019

*Proof.* For  $x \in H$ , consider  $B_x = \{H \ni x: X \subseteq H \text{ or } X \cap H \text{ not regular at } x\}$ . Fix  $f_0$  giving  $H_0$  such that  $x \notin H_0$ . For any  $H$  corresponding to  $f$ , consider  $\varphi_x: H \mapsto f/f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{x, X}$ . Now  $B_x$  correspond to the preimage of  $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  under  $\varphi_x$ . Let  $V$  be the dual  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Consider  $B \subseteq X \times V$  given by  $\bigcup \{x\} \times B_x$ . We want to prove  $B \rightarrow V$  is not surjective. We will do this by showing that  $\dim B < \dim V$ . This is since  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r-1}$  bundle over  $X$  where  $r = \dim X$  (since  $X$  is nonsingular). So  $\dim B = n - 1$ .  $\square$

**Definition 25.1.** We let  $\omega_X = \bigwedge^d \Omega_X$ .

**Theorem 25.2.** *If  $X$  and  $X'$  are nonsingular projective and birational, then  $\Gamma(X, \omega_X^{\otimes n}) = \Gamma(X', \omega_{X'}^{\otimes n})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $V \subseteq X$  the maximal open set such that the birational morphism  $\varphi$  is defined on  $V$ . Then  $\varphi^* \omega_{X'} \rightarrow \omega_V$  is an isomorphism on a open set. This defines a map  $\Gamma(X', \omega_{X'}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \omega_V^{\otimes n})$ , and is injective since a section cannot be zero in an open set. Now note the analogous map for  $X$

is bijective. Indeed,  $\text{codim}_{X \setminus V} X \geq 2$  since  $X$  is proper. Doing the same changing  $X$  and  $X'$  gives what we want.  $\square$