

Class Field Tower Problem

MURILO CORATO ZANARELLA

January 16, 2018

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1. For a number field K , its *Class Field Tower* is

$$K = K^{(0)} \subseteq K^{(1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq K^{(n)} \subseteq \dots,$$

where $K^{(i+1)}$ is the Hilbert Class field of $K^{(i)}$ for all $i \geq 0$. We denote $K^{(\infty)} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} K^{(i)}$.

It is natural to ask whether this tower eventually stabilizes. This was first asked in 1925 by Furtwängler:

Question 1.2 (Class Field Tower Problem). Does the Class Field Tower stabilizes for all number fields K ?

In fact, this is an interesting question since it is equivalent to the following other question:

Question 1.3 (Embeddability Problem). Does any number field K admit an extension L/K of number fields such that the class number of L is 1?

Proposition 1.4. The Class Field Tower problem and the Embeddability Problem are equivalent.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): If the Class Field Tower of K stabilizes at $K^{(n)}$, then this means $|\text{Cl}_{K^{(n)}}| = [K^{(n+1)} : K^{(n)}] = 1$, hence we can take $L = K^{(n)}$.

(\Leftarrow): If we have L/K with $|\text{Cl}_L| = 1$, then L is its own Hilbert Class Field. Inductively, we get $K^{(n)} \subseteq L^{(n)} = L$.

Since $[L : K]$ is finite, we conclude that the Class Field Tower must stabilize. \square

In 1964, these problems were solved in the negative by Evgeny Golod and Igor Shafarevich. Their proof, with some refinements, yields the following:

Theorem 1.5 (Golod-Shafarevich). *If K is an imaginary quadratic field with at least 5 distinct odd primes dividing its discriminant, then K has an infinite Class Field Tower.*

This gives counter-examples such as $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13})$.

After this, several other counter-examples were constructed, such as by means of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 (Brumer). *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be Galois, of degree n . Let k be the number of infinite places of K , and p be a prime $p \mid n$. Let t_p be the number of primes ramified in K with the ramification index a multiple of p . Then K has an infinite Class Field Tower if*

$$t_p > \frac{k-1}{p-1} + \nu_p(n)\delta_p + 2 + 2\sqrt{k + \delta_p},$$

where $\delta_p = 1$ if the p -roots of unity are in K and 0 otherwise.

For instance, any quadratic real field with at least $7 > 4 + 2\sqrt{2}$ ramified primes has infinite Class Field Tower. However, all the results currently known use the Golod-Shafarevich inequality 2.4 as one use its tools.

2. OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

Definition 2.1. We call an extension of number fields L/K a p -extension if it is Galois and $[L : K]$ is a power of p .

Lemma 2.2. *If K is a number field with finite Class Field Tower, there is a maximal unramified p -extension of K . We denote it by K^p . Moreover, K^p has no nontrivial unramified p -extension.*

Proof. Note $K^{(\infty)}$ is the maximal solvable unramified extension of K ¹. Since a p -group is solvable, we must have $L \subseteq K^{(\infty)}$ for any p -extension L/K . So if $G = \text{Gal}(K^{(\infty)}/K)$, we need to prove there is a minimal normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ such that $[G : N]$ is a power of p . This is true for any finite group G , and follows once we prove that if N_1, N_2 are two such subgroups, then so is $N_1 \cap N_2$. If N_1, N_2 are two such subgroups, then $N_1 N_2$ is a subgroup of G of order $\frac{|N_1| \cdot |N_2|}{|N_1 \cap N_2|}$ ², we have that $N_1 \cap N_2$ is still normal, and

$$[G : N_1 \cap N_2] = \frac{|G| \cdot |N_1 N_2|}{|N_1| \cdot |N_2|} = \frac{[G : N_1] \cdot [G : N_2]}{[G : N_1 N_2]} \mid [G : N_1] \cdot [G : N_2]$$

which is a power of p . Hence $N_1 \cap N_2$ also defines a p -extension. So K^p is well defined.

Suppose L/K^p is an unramified p -extension. Let M/K be the Galois closure of L/K . Then M/K is also unramified. If L_1, \dots, L_k are the conjugates of L , then we have $[M : K] \mid \prod_{i=1}^k [L_i : K] = [L : K]^k$, which is a power of p . Hence M/K is a p -extension, which implies $L \subseteq M \subseteq K^p$. Hence L/K^p is a trivial extension. \square

So if we construct number fields K such that K^p/K is infinite, then its Class Field Tower is infinite. This is done by the two theorems that follow.

Notation. If G is a p -group, we denote $d(G) = |\text{H}_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)|$ and $r(G) = |\text{H}_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)|$ where the action of G in \mathbb{F}_p is the trivial one.

Theorem 2.3 (Iwasawa). *If we have an unramified p -extension L/K of number fields, such that L has no unramified Galois extension of degree p , then we have, for $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$,*

$$r(G) - d(G) \leq r + s.$$

Theorem 2.4 (Golod-Shafarevich). *If G is a nontrivial finite p -group, then*

$$\frac{d(G)^2}{4} < r(G).$$

Now suppose for instance that K is a quadratic imaginary field, so that $r = 0$ and $s = 1$. Assume that K^2/K is finite. We let $G = \text{Gal}(K^2/K)$. If N distinct odd primes divide D_K , then we can see that $d(G) \geq N$. Indeed, for any $p_i \mid D_K$ odd, we consider $L_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_i^*})$. Then $L_i \subseteq K^2$, so it defines a map $\varphi_i : G \rightarrow G/\text{Gal}(K^2/L_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_2$ which we can see as an element of $\text{H}^1(G, \mathbb{F}_2)$. They are linearly independent, since if $g_i \in G \setminus \text{Gal}(K^2/L_i)$, then $\varphi_j(g_i) = \delta_j^i$. Since $\text{H}^1(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \text{H}_1(G, \mathbb{F}_2)$ ³, we conclude that $d(G) \geq N$.

Then $d(G)^2 < 4r(G) \leq 4(1 + d(G))$, so $d(G)^2 - 4d(G) - 4 < 0$, which is not true for $d(G) \geq 5$. Hence if $N \geq 5$ we have a contradiction, which forces the Class Field Tower of K to be infinite.

¹ To see that $K^{(\infty)}/K$ is Galois, we use that: If L/K is a Galois extension of number fields, and H is the Hilbert Class Field of L , then H/K is Galois. Indeed, if σ fixes K , then $\sigma L = L$ and so $\sigma H/L$ is an unramified abelian extension of L , hence $\sigma H \subseteq H \implies \sigma H = H$.

² That $|N_1 N_2| = \frac{|N_1| \cdot |N_2|}{|N_1 \cap N_2|}$ is a simple counting. If $n_i \in N_i$, then $n_2 n_1 = n_1^{-1} (n_1 n_2) n_1 \in n_1^{-1} N_1 N_2 n_1 \subseteq (n_1^{-1} N_1 n_1) (n_1^{-1} N_2 n_1)$, hence $N_2 N_1 \subseteq N_1 N_2$. Analogously, we have the other containment. Hence $N_1 N_2 = N_2 N_1$. Then it follows easily that $N_1 N_2$ is a group.

³ We have $\text{H}_1(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\text{H}^1(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{F}_2)$, both counting the number of quotients of G^{ab} of size 2.

3. PROOF OF IWASAWA'S THEOREM

Notation. For any abelian group H , we denote $H_{(p)} = H/pH$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{Z})_{(p)} = r(G) - d(G).$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$ and its corresponding long exact sequence in homology

$$\mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$$

where the 0 at the end is because the last three terms split. Since $\mathbf{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq G^{\text{ab}}$ is finite, we may compute dimensions and we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{Z})_{(p)} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbf{H}_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = r(G) - d(G).$$

□

Notation. Denote $\mathbb{U}_K = \{(a_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{I}_K : a_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a unit for all } \mathfrak{p} \text{ finite}\} = \text{Ker}(\mathbb{I}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_K)$ and $U_K = \mathbb{U}_K \cap K^\times$, so that U_K is the group of units of K .

Then we have two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_L/U_L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_L \rightarrow \text{Cl}_L \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow U_L \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_L/U_L \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Class Field Theory, the fact that L has no unramified Galois extension of degree p is equivalent to the fact that $p \nmid |\text{Cl}_L|$. As G is a p -group, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \text{Cl}_L) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.^4$$

Since L/K is unramified, we have that the $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \mathbb{U}_L)$ all vanish⁵. Considering the long exact sequence induced by the two exact sequences above, we have for all r ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^r(G, \mathbb{U}_L/U_L) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^r(G, \mathbb{C}_L) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^r(G, \mathbb{U}_L/U_L) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{r+1}(G, U_L) \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^r(G, \mathbb{C}_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{r+1}(G, U_L)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Main Theorem of Class Field Theory reads $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{r-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^r(G, \mathbb{C}_L)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.⁶ Hence we deduce

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{r-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{r+1}(G, U_L) \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, for $r = -1$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^0(G, U_L) = U_K/\text{Nm}_{L/K}(U_L),$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{H}_2(G, \mathbb{Z})_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (U_K/\text{Nm}_{L/K}(U_L))_{(p)}.$$

Taking dimensions, we get, by the lemma above,

$$r(G) - d(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((U_K/\text{Nm}_{L/K}(U_L))_{(p)}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((U_K)_{(p)}).$$

Since by the Unit Theorem we have $U_K \simeq \mu_K \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$, so we get $r(G) - d(G) \leq r + s - 1 + \delta_K \leq r + s$.

⁴This holds since we saw in class that $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \text{Cl}_L)$ is $|G|$ -torsion. Moreover, since Cl_L is $|\text{Cl}_L|$ -torsion, so is $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \text{Cl}_L)$. So it is $\text{gcd}(|G|, |\text{Cl}_L|) = 1$ -torsion. Hence $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \text{Cl}_L) = 0$.

⁵ Since $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G, \mathbb{U}_L) = \varinjlim \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}^\times)$, it suffices to prove $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^n(G_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}^\times) = 0$. Since $G_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is cyclic, it suffices to prove for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, which was done in class.

⁶ We did not prove this in class, but it follows by Tate's theorem once one proves $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^2(G, \mathbb{C}_L)$ is cyclic of order $[L : K]$. For example, for G cyclic, we have $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^2(G, \mathbb{C}_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^0(G, \mathbb{C}_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{\text{ab}} = G$ which is cyclic of the right order.

4. PROOF OF GOLOD-SHAFAREVICH INEQUALITY

For this entire section, G will denote a finite p -group. Remember that for a G -module A , we denote $A_G = H_0(G, A) = A/I_G A$ where I_G is the augmentation ideal.

Lemma 4.1. *If A is a finite G -module with $pA = 0$, then $A_G = 0 \iff A^G = 0 \iff A = 0$.*

Proof. Let $A' = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then $(A')_G = \text{Hom}(A^G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $(A')^G = \text{Hom}(A_G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. So we only need to prove $A^G = 0 \implies A = 0$. Consider the action of G in A . Then $A^G = 0$ means that it has exactly one orbit of size 1. Since all other orbits are of size powers of p , we have $|A| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Since A is a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, this implies $A = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a finite G -module with $pA = 0$. Let a_1, \dots, a_r be a basis of A_G as a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. Then they also generate A as a G -module.*

Proof. Let B be the submodule generated by the a_i . Then the sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/B \rightarrow 0$ induces

$$\dots \rightarrow B_G \rightarrow A_G \rightarrow (A/B)_G \rightarrow 0.$$

As $B_G \rightarrow A_G$ is a surjection, we get $(A/B)_G = 0$, so $A = B$ by the above lemma. \square

Notation. We denote $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p[G]$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a finite G -module with $pA = 0$. Then there is a resolution*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^{b_2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^{b_1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^{b_0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

such that $b_i = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H_i(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$ and such that $\partial(\Lambda^{b_{n+1}}) \subseteq I_G \Lambda^{b_n}$.

Proof. By the above lemma, we have the epimorphism $\Lambda^{b_0} \twoheadrightarrow A$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \Lambda^{b_0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. Since Λ^{b_0} is an induced G -module, all its homology groups for $i \geq 1$ vanish. The long exact sequence in homology then becomes

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_2(G, A) \rightarrow H_1(G, B) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_1(G, A) \rightarrow H_0(G, B) \rightarrow H_0(G, \Lambda^{b_0}) \rightarrow H_0(G, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, $H_0(G, \Lambda^{b_0}) \rightarrow H_0(G, A)$ is an isomorphism, since it is a surjection between \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces of same dimension b_0 . This implies that $H_{i+1}(G, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(G, B)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and also that $H_0(G, B) \rightarrow H_0(G, \Lambda^{b_0})$ is the zero map. Repeating the above argument, we get a surjection $\Lambda^{b_1} \twoheadrightarrow B$. Then we can define $\Lambda^{b_1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^{b_0}$ to be the composition $\Lambda^{b_1} \twoheadrightarrow B \rightarrow \Lambda^{b_0}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Lambda^{b_1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Lambda^{b_0} & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & & & \\ & & B & & & & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

Note that $\partial(\Lambda^{b_1}) = \text{Im}(B \rightarrow \Lambda^{b_0}) = \text{Ker}(\Lambda^{b_0} \rightarrow A)$, so the top row is exact.

Moreover, since $H_0(G, B) \rightarrow H_0(G, \Lambda^{b_0})$ is the zero map, we have $\text{Im}(B \rightarrow \Lambda^{b_0}) \subseteq I_G \Lambda^{b_0}$. Hence $\partial(\Lambda^{b_1}) \subseteq I_G \Lambda^{b_0}$.

Continuing with this process in B , we get the desired resolution. \square

Definition 4.4. Let A be a finite G -module such that $pA = 0$. Denote $c_i(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} I_G^i A / I_G^{i+1} A$. Then the *Poincaré Polynomial* of A is $P_A(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(A) t^n$. Note that since A is finite, this is indeed a polynomial.

Proof of Golod-Shafarevich. Let $d = d(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $r = r(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

By the lemma above applied to $A = \mathbb{F}_p$, we have a resolution

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^r \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^d \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0,$$

where we can take the map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ to be the augmentation map. By letting $E = I_G \Lambda$, $D = \Lambda^d$, $R = \Lambda^r$, we can write this sequence as

$$R \xrightarrow{\partial} D \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\partial(R) \subseteq I_G D$, this induces the sequence

$$I_G^n R \xrightarrow{\partial} I_G^{n+1} D \rightarrow I_G^{n+1} E \rightarrow 0$$

and hence induces

$$R/I_G^n R \xrightarrow{\partial} D/I_G^{n+1} D \rightarrow E/I_G^{n+1} E \rightarrow 0.$$

This last sequence is exact since we have

$$\text{Ker}(D/I_G^{n+1} D \rightarrow E/I_G^{n+1} E) = \text{Ker}(D \rightarrow E) \pmod{I_G^{n+1} D} = \text{Im}\left(R \xrightarrow{\partial} D\right) \pmod{I_G^{n+1} D} = \text{Im}\left(R/I_G^n R \xrightarrow{\partial} D/I_G^{n+1} D\right).$$

Note the first equality is true since $D \rightarrow E$ is surjective. From the exactness we obtain $s_n(D) \leq s_n(E) + s_{n-1}(R)$, where $s_n(A) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} c_i(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (A/I_G^{n+1} A)$ for a module A .

Since $I_G^i E / I_G^{i+1} E = I_G^{i+1} \Lambda / I_G^{i+2} \Lambda$, and $\Lambda / I_G \Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$, we have that $P_E(t) = \frac{P(t)-1}{t}$ where $P(t) = P_\Lambda(t)$. Moreover, we clearly have $P_D(t) = dP(t)$ and $P_R(t) = rP(t)$. Using that for $0 < t < 1$ we have $\frac{1}{1-t} P_A(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n(A)$, the above inequality implies that

$$P_D(t) \leq P_E(t) + tP_R(t) \iff dP(t) \leq \frac{P(t)-1}{t} + rtP(t) \iff P(t)(1-dt+rt^2) \geq 1.$$

Since $P(t)$ has positive coefficients, this implies that $1-dt+rt^2 > 0$ for all $0 < t < 1$. By 3.1, we have $d \leq r < 2r$, so for $t = \frac{d}{2r}$ this reads $r > \frac{d^2}{4}$. \square

5. SKETCH OF PROOF OF BRUMER'S THEOREM

As before, we assume that K has finite Class Field Tower and let $G = \text{Gal}(K^{(\infty)}/K)$. Note that we have $\text{Cl}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(K^{(1)}/K) = G^{\text{ab}}$ and

$$H_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{Hom}(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \text{Hom}(\text{Cl}_K, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{Hom}((\text{Cl}_K)_{(p)}, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

which has the same size as $|(\text{Cl}_K)_{(p)}|$, so in fact $d(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Cl}_K)_{(p)}$.

So the theorem follows from Iwasawa's, Golod-Shafarevich's and the inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Cl}_K)_{(p)} \geq t_p - \left(\frac{k-1}{p-1} + \nu_p(n)\delta_p \right).$$

Now let $G^* = \text{Gal}(K^{(1)}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. We have the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G^*/G, U_{K^{(1)}}) \rightarrow H^1(G^*, U_{K^{(1)}}) \rightarrow H^1(G, U_K).$$

By comparing dimensions in this formula, we are done if we prove that:

$$(5.1) \quad H^1(G^*/G, U_{K^{(1)}}) \simeq \text{Cl}_K,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(G^*, U_{K^{(1)}}))_{(p)} = t_p,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(G, U_K))_{(p)} \leq \frac{k-1}{p-1} + \nu_p(n)\delta_p.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let L/K a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G . If $I_L^G \subseteq P_L$, then we have a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K \rightarrow H^1(G, U_L) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{U}_L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have the following exact and commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U_L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{U}_L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{U}_L/U_L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{I}_L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_L & \longrightarrow & I_L & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

which induces the following exact commutative diagram in cohomology, where we use Hilbert 90

Hence we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{H}^1(G, A))_{(p)} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A^d)_{(p)} \leq d \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} A_{(p)} \leq \nu_p(n) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} A_{(p)}.$$

□

Remark 5.3. The stronger inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{H}^1(G, A))_{(p)} \leq \frac{r}{p-1} + \nu_p(n) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A^{\text{tor}})_{(p)}$$

(where r is the rank of A) that we need for Brumer's theorem is proved by separating the cases of torsion and free modules, and proving a stronger inequality for the free module case.

Proof of equation 5.3. By Dirichlet's unit theorem, we have that $U_K \simeq \mu_K \cdot E$, where E is a free abelian group of k generators. Then the equation follows from the previous lemma 5.2 and the following remark for the full theorem. □

Without the improvements in 5.2, the bound we got implies the following weaker version of Brumer's theorem:

Theorem (Brumer). *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be Galois, of degree n . Let k be the number of infinite places of K , and p be a prime $p \mid n$. Let t_p be the number of primes ramified in K with the ramification index a multiple of p . Then K has an infinite Class Field Tower if*

$$t_p > \nu_p(n)(k + \delta_p) + 2 + 2\sqrt{k + \delta_p},$$

where $\delta_p = 1$ if the p -roots of unity are in K and 0 otherwise.

Corollary 5.4. There is a constant $c(n)$ such that, if K/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension of number fields of degree n , then K has infinite Class Field Tower if the number of distinct primes ramified in K/\mathbb{Q} is greater than $c(n)$. In fact, we can take

$$c(n) = \Omega(n)n + (2 + 2\sqrt{n})\omega(n)$$

by the weak form of Brumer's theorem we proved, or even

$$c'(n) = \sum_{p|n} \frac{n-1}{p-1} + \Omega(n) + (2 + 2\sqrt{n})\omega(n) < 3 \cdot n \cdot \ln \ln n$$

for n sufficiently large by the stronger form of Brumer's theorem.