

18.705: COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA, FALL 2019

BJORN POONEN, NOTES BY MURILO ZANARELLA

CONTENTS

Problem sets	2
1. 04/09/2019	2
2. 06/09/2019	3
3. 09/09/2019	4
4. 11/09/2019	6
5. 13/09/2019	6
6. 16/09/2019	7
7. 18/09/2019	8
8. 23/09/2019	8
9. 25/09/2019	9
10. 27/09/2019	9
11. 30/09/2019	9
12. 02/10/2019	10
13. 04/10/2019	11
14. 07/10/2019	12
15. 09/10/2019	13
16. 11/10/2019	13
17. 16/10/2019	13
18. 18/10/2019	13
19. 21/10/2019	14
20. 23/10/2019	14
21. 25/10/2019	15
22. 28/10/2019	15
23. 30/10/2019	16
24. 31/10/2019	18
25. 04/11/2019	18
26. 06/11/2019	18
27. 08/11/2019	20
28. 13/11/2019	21
29. 15/11/2019	22
30. 18/11/2019	22
31. 20/11/2019	23

32.	22/11/2019	24
33.	25/11/2019	25
34.	27/11/2019	26
35.	02/12/2019	27
36.	04/12/2019	27
37.	06/12/2019	27
38.	09/12/2019	28
39.	11/12/2019	28

PROBLEM SETS

Altman-Kleiman

Website

1. 04/09/2019

Goal: study *interesting* commutative rings using the language of category theory, and geometric intuition when appropriate.

Rings are commutative.

Example 1.1. The following are rings

- (1) $\{0\}$,
- (2) fields,
- (3) rings of integers in number fields,
- (4) $k[t]$ for a (algebraically closed) field k (regular functions on the affine line \mathbb{A}^1), $k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, quotients, etc.

1.1. Universal properties.

Definition 1.2. Given a ring A and an ideal $I \subseteq A$, the *quotient ring* is a ring A/I , equipped with ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow A/I$, sending I to 0, and such that for any other ring B and a map $A \rightarrow B$ killing I , then there is a unique map $f: A/I \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f \\ & & B \end{array}$$

Remark 1.3. By general category theory such A/I is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Definition 1.4. Let A be a ring. The polynomial algebra in one variable over A is an A -algebra $A[t]$ equipped with a distinct element $t \in A[t]$ such that for any other such pair (B, b) , there is a unique map $f: A[t] \rightarrow B$ with

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A[t] & & t \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & B & & b \end{array}$$

Remark 1.5. It generalizes to any set indexing the variables.

Definition 1.6. Let A_1 , and A_2 be rings. The *product* ring $A_1 \times A_2$ equipped with homomorphisms $p_i: A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow A_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that for an other such ring P , there is a unique map $f: P \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ p_1 \swarrow & \downarrow f & \searrow p_2 \\ & A_1 \times A_2 & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ A_1 & & A_2 \end{array}$$

Remark 1.7. Generalizes to any index set I .

Remark 1.8. In $A_1 \times A_2$, one has idempotents $e_1 := (1, 0)$ and $e_2 := (0, 1)$. Conversely, if A is a ring with an *idempotent* $e \in A$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A_1 \times A_2 \\ a & \longmapsto & (ae, a(1-e)) \end{array}$$

where $A_1 := Ae$ and $A_2 := A(1-e)$ with identities e and $1-e$.

Warning 1.9. There is no such thing as a *direct sum* of rings ($A \rightarrow A \times B$ is not a ring homomorphism).

2. 6/09/2019

Definition 2.1. Given ideals I and J , we define the *colon ideal* or *transporter*

$$(I: J) = \{a \in A: aJ \subseteq I\}.$$

Definition 2.2. A subset $S \subseteq A$ is *multiplicative* if S is closed under finite products.

Definition 2.3. An ideal \mathfrak{p} is *prime* if $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is multiplicative.

Definition 2.4. We define the *spectrum* $\text{Spec } A$ to be the set of all prime ideals.

Proposition 2.5. A ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Proposition 2.6. An ideal $I \subset A$ is maximal if and only if A/I is a field, and prime if and only if A/I is a domain.

Definition 2.7. Given a domain A , its *fraction field* $\text{Frac}(A)$ is universal among fields F equipped with an injective ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow F$.

Definition 2.8. Given a domain A and $P = A[t_i]$, we denote the *order of vanishing* ord_f to the minimum of the degree of the monomials.

Definition 2.9. If K is a field, we denote the *field of rational functions* $K(t_i)$ to be the fraction field of $K[t_i]$.

3. 09/09/2019

Lemma 3.1. Let S be a multiplicative subset of A and I an ideal with $I \cap S = \emptyset$. Let $\mathfrak{J} = \{J \supseteq I : J \cap S = \emptyset\}$. Then \mathfrak{J} has a maximal element \mathfrak{p} , and any such \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal.

Proof. For any such \mathfrak{p} , and any $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$, we have $(\mathfrak{p} + (x)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. So $A \setminus \mathfrak{p} = \{x \in A : \mathfrak{p} + (x) \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$. Now the claim is that $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is a multiplicative set. \square

3.1. Radicals.

Definition 3.2. Let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal. Then $\sqrt{I} := \{x \in A : x^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}$.

Example 3.3. If \mathfrak{p} is prime, then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

Theorem 3.4. We have

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \text{ prime}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$, then $\sqrt{I} \supseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$. For the other inclusion, consider $x \notin \sqrt{I}$. Apply the lemma with $S = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$, which is disjoint to I . Then we get a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ disjoint to S . This means $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Definition 3.5. For the ideal 0 , $\text{nil } A := \sqrt{0}$ is called the *nilradical* of A , which is the intersection of all prime ideals.

Definition 3.6. A ring A is *reduced* if $\sqrt{0} = 0$.

Definition 3.7. The *Jacobson radical* of a ring is $\text{rad } A := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ maximal}} \mathfrak{p}$.

Remark 3.8. Later we will prove that if A is a finitely generated algebra over a field or over \mathbb{Z} , then $\text{nil } A = \text{rad } A$, which is a version of the Nullstellensatz.

Proposition 3.9. If $u \in A^\times$, then $u + \text{rad } A \subseteq A^\times$.

Proof. If $u + v \notin A^\times$, then it belongs to a maximal ideal. □

Corollary 3.10. We have $\text{rad } A = \{x \in A : 1 - ax \in A^\times \text{ for all } a \in A\}$.

Proof. \subseteq is clear. Now if $x \notin \text{rad } A$ it is not in some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then $\mathfrak{m} + (x) = A$, so we have $m + ax = 1$ for $m \in \mathfrak{m}$, which means $1 - ax = m$ is not a unit. □

Example 3.11. Consider $A = k[[t]]$ for a field k . Consider $\mathfrak{m} = tk[[t]]$. Note $A^\times = A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$, and that this means A is local, and all ideals are \mathfrak{m}^n for varying $n \geq 0$. So $\text{rad } A = \mathfrak{m}$ and $\text{nil } A = 0$.

Definition 3.12. A ring A with exactly one maximal ideal is called a *local ring*, and the quotient A/\mathfrak{m} is called its *residue field*.

Definition 3.13. A ring A with finitely many maximal ideals is called a *semilocal ring*.

3.2. Spectrum.

Definition 3.14. We turn $\text{Spec } A$ into a topological space by taking the closed sets to be

$$V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\}$$

for ideals $I \subseteq A$. Note $V(I) \cup V(J) = V(I \cap J)$ and $\bigcap_i V(I_i) = V(\sum_i I_i)$. This is called the *Zariski topology*.

Proposition 3.15. $V(I) = \emptyset \iff I = A$ and $V(I) = \text{Spec } A \iff A \subseteq \text{nil } A$. Moreover, $V(I) = V(J) \iff \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}$.

Example 3.16. Let k be an algebraically closed field and $A = k[t]$. Then $\text{Spec } A$ is $k \cup \{\eta\}$ with the profinite topology on k and whose all nonempty open sets contain η , that is $\overline{\{\eta\}} = \text{Spec } A$.

4. 11/09/2019

Definition 4.1. For any subset $S \subseteq \text{Spec } A$, we say a point $p \in \text{Spec } A$ is a *generic point* for S if $S = \overline{\{p\}}$.

Proposition 4.2. A point $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ is closed if and only if \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal.

Proof. This is since $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$. □

Example 4.3. Let $A = k[x, y]$ for k algebraically closed. Then the points of $\text{Spec } A$ correspond to the ideals of the form $(x - a, y - b)$, to irreducible polynomials and to the zero ideal.

Definition 4.4. A topological space is irreducible if it is nonempty and is not a union of two proper closed subsets.

Proposition 4.5. The irreducible closed subsets of $\text{Spec } A$ are precisely the sets of the form $V(\mathfrak{p})$ for primes \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. It is easy to see that $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is irreducible. Conversely, consider $V(I)$ with I radical and not prime. If $ab \in I$ but $a, b \notin I$, then $V(I) = V(I + (a)) \cap V(I + (b))$. □

Definition 4.6. An *irreducible component* of a topological space X is a maximal irreducible (closed) subset. The analogous notion in commutative algebra is of a *minimal prime*.

Theorem 4.7. Let I be an ideal of A . Then

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}$$

where \mathfrak{p} varies over minimal primes over I .

Proof. Obvious from the previous version and Zorn's lemma. □

5. 13/09/2019

Proposition 5.1. A is a domain if and only if A is reduced and $\text{Spec } A$ is irreducible.

Proof. If A is a domain it is clear. Otherwise, Since $\text{Spec } A$ has only one component, it has only one minimal prime, and it must be $\sqrt{0} = 0$. Hence 0 is prime, that is, A is a domain. □

Proposition 5.2. Spec is a contravariant functor.

5.1. Modules.

Definition 5.3. The *kernel* of f is a pair (K, i) universal among the pairs such that $K \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{f} N$ is 0. The *cokernel* is (C, q) universal among the ones such that $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{q} C$ is 0. The *image* of f is the kernel of the cokernel, and the *coimage* is the cokernel of the kernel, and they are isomorphic.

Theorem 5.4. *If A is a PID, then any submodule of a free A -module is free.*

Proof. Let F be a submodule of the free module E . By the axiom of choice, we may take a well ordered I with $E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A$, with projections π_i . Let $E_i = \bigoplus_{j \leq i} A$, and $F_i = F \cap E_i$. Then $\pi_i(F_i) = (c_i)$ for some $c_i \in A$. If $c_i \neq 0$, we choose $f_i \in F_i$ such that $\pi_i(f_i) = c_i$. Then it is easy to see that f_i form a basis for F . \square

6. 16/09/2019

Proposition 6.1. *Given an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} P \rightarrow 0$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *it is isomorphic to $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \oplus P \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$,*
- (2) *there exist a section $s: P \rightarrow M$ such that $\beta \circ s = \text{id}$,*
- (3) *there exist a retraction $r: M \rightarrow N$ such that $r \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.*

Lemma 6.2 (Snake lemma). *Given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \gamma'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\gamma') \rightarrow \ker(\gamma) \rightarrow \ker(\gamma'') \rightarrow \text{coker}(\gamma') \rightarrow \text{coker}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\gamma'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 6.3. A *presentation* of M is an exact sequence $F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with F_0, F_1 free. Then M is *finitely generated* if F_0 can be chosen with finite rank, and *finitely presented* if F_0 and F_1 can be chosen of finite rank.

Example 6.4. $A = k[t, u]$ and $M = (t, u)$ then $A \rightarrow A^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $z \mapsto (uz, -tz)$ and $(x, y) \rightarrow xt + yu$.

7. 18/09/2019

Definition 7.1. For a ring A and a module M , we have functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}(M, \cdot): \mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, M): \mathrm{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Definition 7.2. For categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , an *additive functor* $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is such that the maps $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(FA, FB)$ are group homomorphisms.

Definition 7.3. For contravariant functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, we define left/right exact by applying the definition to $F: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 7.4. *Both Hom functors are left exact.*

Theorem 7.5. *Let A be a ring, P a module. The following are equivalent, and when they are true we say P is projective.*

- (1) *Given $M \twoheadrightarrow N$ and $P \rightarrow N$, there is a $P \rightarrow M$ that is compatible.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P \\ & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ M & \twoheadrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

- (2) *Every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ splits.*
 (3) *P is a direct summand of a free module.*
 (4) *$\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, P)$ is exact.*

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Use 1 with $P = N$ to get a section.

(2) \implies (3): Take M free and use (2).

(3) \implies (4): Suppose $K \oplus P$ is free. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(K \oplus P, \cdot)$ is exact, and $\mathrm{Hom}(K \oplus P, \cdot) = \mathrm{Hom}(K, \cdot) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(P, \cdot)$, so both must be exact, which implies (4).

(4) \implies (1): Apply $\mathrm{Hom}(P, \cdot)$ to $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$, and so in particular $\mathrm{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, N) \rightarrow 0$, which is what we want. \square

8. 23/09/2019

Categories, colimits.

9. 25/09/2019

More on colimits.

10. 27/09/2019

Proposition 10.1. *For a colimit $\varinjlim M_i$ in modules of A and N is an A -module, apply $\text{Hom}(N, \cdot)$ to the limit. Then we have a map $\theta: \varinjlim \text{Hom}(N, M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, \varinjlim M_i)$.*

Now suppose the limit is filtered.

- (1) *If N is finitely generated, then θ is injective.*
- (2) *If N is finitely presented, then θ is an isomorphism.*

Proof of (1). Let n_1, \dots, n_m be generators of N . Let $f \in \varinjlim \text{Hom}(N, M_i)$. Say it is represented by $f: N \rightarrow M_i$. If $\theta(f) = 0$, then $\theta(f)(n_k) = 0$, and this holds in $\varinjlim M_i$, so there is a j_k with $f(n_k) = 0$ in M_{j_k} , so there is a $j > j_k$ such that $f = 0 \in M_j$, so $f = 0 \in \varinjlim M_i$. \square

Theorem 10.2. *Filtered colimits preserve exactness.*

Proof. The morphisms $\varinjlim L_i \rightarrow \varinjlim M_i \rightarrow \varinjlim N_i$ come from the universal properties and their composition is 0. If $m \in \varinjlim M_i$ is in the kernel, then it is $m \in M_i$, and since it maps to 0, we can further choose i such that $M_i \rightarrow N_i$ maps m to 0. This means m is in the image of $L_i \rightarrow M_i$, and so that it is in the image of $\varinjlim L_i \rightarrow \varinjlim M_i$. \square

11. 30/09/2019

Tensors.

Theorem 11.1. *For N a (A, B) -bimodule, $\otimes_A N$ and $\text{Hom}_B(N, \cdot)$ is an adjoint pair.*

Corollary 11.2. *$\otimes_A N$ preserves colimits, and is right exact.*

Theorem 11.3 (Watts 1960). *Any A -linear functor $F: \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A$ that preserves direct sums and cokernels is isomorphic to $\otimes_A P$ for some $P = F(A)$. In general, if F does not preserve direct sums and cokernels, then we only have a natural transformation $\Theta: \otimes_A P \rightarrow F$ such that $\Theta(A)$ is the identity.*

12. 02/10/2019

Definition 12.1. For a ring A and an A -module M , let TM , $\bigwedge M = TM/(m \otimes m)$ and $\text{Sym}M = TM/(a \otimes b - b \otimes a)$ be the tensor algebra, the exterior algebra, and the symmetric algebra.

Example 12.2 (Determinant). If M is a free A -module of rank r , then $\det M := \bigwedge^r M$ is free of rank 1. If $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a linear map, this induces a map $\bigwedge^r f: \bigwedge^r M \rightarrow \bigwedge^r M$, and this is multiplication by the determinant.

12.1. Flatness.

Definition 12.3 (Serre, ~1955). The functor $\otimes_A M$ is always right exact. We call M *flat* if it is also left exact.

Lemma 12.4. $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is flat if and only if all M_i are flat.

Proof. Follows from the distributivity of \oplus and \otimes . □

Corollary 12.5. *Projective modules are flat.*

Remark 12.6. $\otimes_A M$ is faithful if and only if $N \otimes M \rightarrow P \otimes M$ being 0 implies $N \rightarrow P$ to be 0.

Definition 12.7. M is *faithfully flat* if M is flat and faithful.

Example 12.8. Nonzero free modules.

Proposition 12.9. *Suppose $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ with M_3 flat. Then tensoring with any N preserves the exactness. Moreover, M_1 is flat if and only if M_2 is flat.*

14. 07/10/2019

Lemma 14.1. *Let M be a finitely generated A -module, and $I \subseteq A$ an ideal. If $M = IM$, then there is $b \in I$ such that $(1 + b)M = 0$.*

Proof. Choose generators m_i of M , and write relations $m_i = \sum_j a_{ij}m_j$ with $a_{ij} \in I$. Then use Cayley–Hamilton. \square

Lemma 14.2 (Nakayama’s lemma). *Let A be a ring, $I \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ be an ideal. Then for a finitely generated module M , $M = IM$ implies $M = 0$.*

Proof. Apply the lemma. There is $b \in I$ with $(1 + b)M = 0$. But $1 + b$ is a unit, hence $M = 0$. \square

Corollary 14.3. *Let A be a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and M a finitely generated module. Consider m_1, \dots, m_n . Then m_i generate M if and only if they generate $M/\mathfrak{m}M$.*

Proof. Let N be the module generated by the m_i . Let $Q = M/N$. Then we have an exact sequence $N/\mathfrak{m}N \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow Q/\mathfrak{m}Q \rightarrow 0$. Now by Nakayama, $Q/\mathfrak{m}Q = 0$ if and only if $Q = 0$, which is what we want. \square

Remark 14.4. There is an analogue in group theory: if G is a p -group, g_i generate G if and only if they generate the Frattini quotient $G/(G^p[G, G])$.

Proposition 14.5. *Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Then $\text{free} \implies \text{projective} \implies \text{flat}$. Moreover, they are all equivalent if A is local and M is finitely presented.*

Also, for a projective module, finitely generated \implies finitely presented.

Proof. Suppose A is local and \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal, with $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Let M be a flat finitely presented A -module. Choose a basis \overline{m}_i for $M \otimes k$, and choose lifts m_i . By Nakayama, m_i generate M . Since M is finitely generated, there are finitely many m_i . So we have a map $A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Since M is finitely presented, we may choose this such that $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with L finitely generated. Tensoring with k , and using that M is flat, we conclude $L \otimes k = 0$. By Nakayama again, we conclude $L = 0$.

For the second claim, let M be finitely generated projective. Doing the same thing as above, $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is split. Then $A^n \rightarrow L$ giving the splitting is surjective, and so L is finitely generated. \square

14.1. Integral extensions.

Definition 14.6. A a ring, B an A -algebra. Then $x \in B$ is integral if x satisfies a monic equation.

Proposition 14.7. x is integral if and only if $A[x]$ is generated as a A -module, if and only if x is contained in an A -subalgebra $C \subseteq B$ that is finitely generated as a A -module.

15. 09/10/2019

Proof. All is easy, except that the last one implies integrality, but this follows from the determinant trick. \square

Definition 15.1. The integral closure (or normalization) of A over B is the ring of integral elements over B .

Example 15.2. $A = k[t^2, t^3]$ is not normal.

16. 11/10/2019

17. 16/10/2019

18. 18/10/2019

We will establish

$$M \text{ has property } P \iff M_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ has property } P \text{ for all maximal ideals } \mathfrak{m}$$

for several P .

Proposition 18.1. $P = \text{"is trivial"}$.

Proof. Take $s \in M$ nonzero, and consider a maximal ideal that contains $\text{Ann}(s)$. \square

Proposition 18.2. $P = \text{"exactness"}$.

Proof. Follows from the above and the exactness of localization. \square

Proposition 18.3. $P = \text{"flatness"}$.

Proof. Follows from the above and the fact that localization and tensor commute. \square

Corollary 18.4. A module finitely presented M is flat if and only if it is locally free if and only if it is projective.

19. 21/10/2019

19.1. **Cohen–Seidenberg theory.** Setup: A'/A integral extension.

Lemma 19.1. *Suppose A, A' are domains. Then A' is a field if and only if A is a field.*

Proof. For $x \in A$, $q/x \in A'$ is integral over A , and then we can use this to produce an inverse.

Conversely, if A is a field, we consider a minimal polynomial for any element $y \in A'$, and by inverting the constant term let us write an inverse of y . \square

Definition 19.2. We say $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq A'$ lies over $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ if $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}' \cap A$. Equivalently, if \mathfrak{p}' maps to \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Spec } A' \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Theorem 19.3. *If $\mathfrak{p}'/\mathfrak{p}$, then \mathfrak{p}' is maximal if and only if \mathfrak{p} is maximal. If $\mathfrak{p}'_1, \mathfrak{p}'_2$ are two such distinct primes, no one is contained in the other. Given \mathfrak{p} and $I \subseteq A'$ with $I \cap A \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then there is such \mathfrak{p}' with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the lemma for $A/\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A'/\mathfrak{p}'$.

Now localize at $S = A - \mathfrak{p} \subseteq A' - \mathfrak{p}'$. Then \mathfrak{p} becomes maximal, and now it follows from the first statement.

Again localize to assume A is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . Then take a maximal ideal of the extension containing I . It must lie over \mathfrak{p} since it is the only maximal ideal. \square

Proposition 19.4. *Let $L = \text{Frac } A', K = \text{Frac } A$. Then $l \in L$ is in A' if and only if its minimal polynomial has coefficients in A .*

Theorem 19.5 (Going down theorem). *Suppose A, A' are domains, with A normal. Given $\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, there is a \mathfrak{p}' lying over \mathfrak{p} and with $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$.*

20. 23/10/2019

Proof. Consider the minimal polynomial $F_{a'} \in K[x]$ of $a' \in K' := \text{Frac } A'$. We can prove that if $a' \in A'$, the $F_{a'} \in A[x]$. If in addition $a' \in \mathfrak{p}A'$, then we can prove $F_{a'} \in x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Now consider $\mathfrak{p}A'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \cap A$. It contains \mathfrak{p} , but if a is an element of it not in \mathfrak{p} , we have $a = a'/s'$ with $a' \in \mathfrak{p}A'$ and $s' \in A' - \mathfrak{q}'$. Then $a^n F_{s'}(x) = F_{a'}(ax)$. By the above, this has coefficients in \mathfrak{p} , and so the same is true for $S_{s'}$. So $s' \in \mathfrak{p}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{p}A'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$.

Now let \mathfrak{p}'' be a ideal maximal along the ones of A'_q , containing $\mathfrak{p}A'_q$ and disjoint from $A - \mathfrak{p}$. This exists by the above. We can prove this is a prime ideal, and $\mathfrak{p}'' \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. Now $\mathfrak{p}' = A' \cap \mathfrak{p}''$. \square

Theorem 20.1 (Noether normalization). *Let k be a field, A a finitely generated k -algebra, and $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots \subset I_r \subset A$ are ideals, then there are algebraically independent $t_1, \dots, t_m \in A$ such that A is module-finite over $P := k[t_1, \dots, t_m]$, and for each i , $I_i \cap P = k[t_1, \dots, t_i]$.*

21. 25/10/2019

Missed

Proof and applications of Noether normalization.

Theorem 21.1. *If A is a finitely generated k -algebra for a field k , then if A is a field, then A is a finite extension of k .*

22. 28/10/2019

Theorem 22.1. *If A is a finitely generated k -algebra, then $\sqrt{0} = \text{rad } A$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \sqrt{0}$. Then $\text{Spec } A_f$ has the points $\mathfrak{p} \not\ni f$, so $\text{Spec } A_f \neq \emptyset$, so $A_f \neq 0$. Choose a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A_f . Then look at $A \rightarrow A_f \rightarrow A_f/\mathfrak{m}$, and this get us an ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$, and we have a map $A/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow A_f/\mathfrak{m}$. Now A_f is finitely generated k -algebra, so A_f/\mathfrak{m} is a finite extension of k by the previous theorem. Hence A/\mathfrak{m} is a finitely generated k -vector space, hence integral over k . This implies that A/\mathfrak{m} is a field. Now we can see that $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$. \square

Theorem 22.2 (Hilbert Nullstellensatz). *Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra, and I an ideal of A . Then*

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \supseteq I} \mathfrak{m}.$$

Proof. Apply the previous theorem to A/I . \square

22.1. Dimension theory.

Definition 22.3. Given a field extension $L \supseteq k$, let T be a maximal algebraically independent subset of L over k . Then we denote $\text{tr. deg}(L/k) = \#T$ the *transcendental degree* of L/k .

Example 22.4. If L is a finitely generated as a field extension over k , then $\text{tr. deg}(L/k)$ is finite, and $L/k(T)$ is a finite extension.

Lemma 22.5. *Let A be finitely generated k -algebra which is a domain. Let $K = \text{Frac}A$. Let $d := \text{tr. deg}(K/k)$. Suppose we have a chain of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r$. Then $\sup r = d$, and $r = d$ if and only if the chain is maximal.*

Proof. Let $T_i = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$. Then we Noether normalization, $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap P$ are contained in the chain $T_0 \subset \cdots \subset T_d$, and they are distinct by incomparability. Hence $r \leq d$. Moreover, we will use the going up/down to extend the chain. We of course assume $\mathfrak{p}_0 = 0$ and \mathfrak{p}_r maximal, which implies that $\mathfrak{p}_r \cap P = T_d$. Suppose i is the smallest index with $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap P \neq T_i$. Then apply going down to A/\mathfrak{p}_{i-1} over P/T_{i-1} , since the bottom one is a domain (is a polynomial ring over k). \square

Definition 22.6. For any $A \neq 0$ ring, we define its dimension as $\sup r$ for $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq A$.

Theorem 22.7. *If A is a finitely generated k -algebra that is a domain, then for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} A$, we have $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim A$. In particular, if \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal, $\dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} = \dim A$.*

23. 30/10/2019

Theorem 23.1. *Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra. Consider $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ primes of A . Then every maximal chain of primes between them have the same length,*

Proof. We may assume $\mathfrak{p} = 0$, but then A is a domain, and we are done by the above. \square

23.1. Noetherianess.

Definition 23.2. A commutative ring A is Noetherian if one of the following equivalent conditions hold.

- (1) Every ideal is finitely generated.
- (2) A satisfies the ascending chain condition ($I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$ stabilize).
- (3) For any set of ideals S , there is a maximal element.

Definition 23.3. A commutative ring A is Artinian if one of the following equivalent conditions hold.

- (1) A satisfies the descending chain condition ($I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$ stabilize).
- (2) For any set of ideals S , there is a minimal element.

Proposition 23.4. *Quotients and localizations of Noetherian rings are Noetherian.*

Lemma 23.5. *If A is Noetherian, then so is $A[x]$.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of $A[x]$. Let $I_n = \{f \in I : \deg f = n\}$ and $L_n = \{lc(f) : f \in I_n\} \cup \{0\}$. Then L_n is an ideal, and $L_{n-1} \subseteq L_n$. Since A is Noetherian, they stabilize to L . This proves that there is n such that I_n generate I . Now choose polynomials that generate each L_m for $m \leq n$. They generate $A[x]$. \square

Theorem 23.6 (Hilbert basis). *Let A be Noetherian, and B a finitely generated A -algebra. Then B is Noetherian.*

Proof. This follows from the two previous results. \square

Definition 23.7. For a ring A and a module M , M is called Noetherian if one of the following equivalent statements hold.

- (1) Every submodule of M is finitely generated.
- (2) Satisfies the ascending chain condition.
- (3) Every subset of submodules has a maximal element.

Remark 23.8. A is Noetherian if and only if it is Noetherian as an A -module. One can also define an Artinian module.

Proposition 23.9. *Noetherianity and finitely-generatedness of modules is stable under extensions.*

Proposition 23.10. *Let A be Noetherian and M an A -module. Then M is Noetherian if and only if M is finitely generated if and only if it is finitely presented.*

Lemma 23.11. *Consider $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ rings. Suppose A is Noetherian, C is a finitely generated A -algebra and C is integral over B . Then B is a finitely generated A -algebra.*

Proof. C is a finitely generated integral B -algebra, so C is finitely generated as a B -module. Write

$$C = A[c_1, \dots, c_m] = Bc'_1 + \dots + Bc'_n,$$

and write $c_i = \sum_j b_{ij}c'_j$ and $c'_i c'_j = \sum_k b_{ijk}c'_k$.

Let $B_0 = A[b_{ij}, b_{ijk}]$ a finitely generated A -algebra. Then $C = B_0 + B_0c'_1 + \dots + B_0c'_n$, since it contains c_i and is closed under multiplication by c_i . Now C is a Noetherian B_0 -module, and B is a finitely generated B_0 -module since it is a submodule of C . This implies B is a finitely generated A -algebra. \square

24. 31/10/2019

Theorem 24.1 (Noether's theorem on invariant subrings). *Let k be a Noetherian ring, A a finitely generated k -algebra, and $G \subseteq \text{Aut}_{k\text{-alg}} A$ a finite group. Then A^G is also a finitely generated algebra over k . (Slogan: finite quotients of varieties are varieties)*

Example 24.2. $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $G = S_n$, then $A^G \simeq k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$.

Proof. We just need to check that A is integral over A^G : an element a is a root of $\prod_{g \in G} (x - ga)$. \square

Lemma 24.3 (Noetherian induction). *Let X be a Noetherian space, and \mathcal{P} a property of closed subsets of X . Assume that whenever \mathcal{P} holds for all proper $Z \subset Y$, then \mathcal{P} holds for Y . Then \mathcal{P} holds for any closed subset.*

Theorem 24.4 (Chevalley's theorem). *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism between finitely generated k -algebras where k is Noetherian. Then $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ maps constructible sets to constructible sets.*

24.1. Associated primes.

Definition 24.5. For a ring A and module M , a prime \mathfrak{p} is an associated prime of M if it is $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}(m)$ for some $m \in M$. Equivalently, M contains a submodule isomorphic to A/\mathfrak{p} . We denote the set of associated primes by $\text{Ass } M$. If I is an ideal, we denote $\text{Ass } I := \text{Ass}(A/I)$.

25. 04/11/2019

Proposition 25.1. *If $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$, then $\text{Ass } M_1 \subseteq \text{Ass } M_2 \subseteq \text{Ass } M_1 \cup \text{Ass } M_3$.*

Proposition 25.2. *If A is Noetherian or M is Noetherian, then*

$$\text{z. div } M = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proposition 25.3. $\text{Ass } M \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \text{Supp } M \subseteq V(\text{Ann } M)$. *We have = in the third if A or M is Noetherian and in the fourth if M is finitely generated.*

Also, If A or M is Noetherian, then the minimal elements of $\text{Supp } M$ are associated primes.

26. 06/11/2019

Lemma 26.1. *Let M be Noetherian. Then there is a chain $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$ such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some primes \mathfrak{p}_i . For any such chain, $\text{Ass } M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} \subseteq \text{Supp } M$.*

Proof. If $M \neq 0$, then $\text{Ass } M \neq \emptyset$, so we have $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \hookrightarrow M$, so that is M_1 , and then repeat the process to the quotient. This process terminates since M is Noetherian.

Now the second part of the claim follows from the statement about $\text{Ass } M$ under exact sequences. \square

Corollary 26.2. *If M is Noetherian, then $\text{Ass } M$ is finite.*

Example 26.3 (Geometric interpretation). Assume M is Noetherian finitely generated. Then $\text{Supp } M$ is closed, and

$$\text{Ass } M = \{\text{minimal assoc. primes}\} \sqcup \{\text{nonminimal assoc. primes}\}$$

so

$$\text{Ass } M = \{\text{minimal primes containing } \text{Ann } M\} \sqcup \{\text{embedded primes}\}$$

which is

$$\text{Ass } M = \{\text{irreducible components of } M\} \sqcup \{\text{embedded components}\}$$

26.1. Primary decomposition. For this entire section, A is Noetherian, and M is a finitely generated A -module.

Definition 26.4. A submodule $N \subseteq M$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary if $\text{Ass } M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. We say it is primary if it is \mathfrak{p} -primary for some \mathfrak{p} .

Definition 26.5. M is coprimary if $\text{Ass } M$ is a singleton. Equivalently, $0 \subseteq M$ is primary.

Proposition 26.6. *A finite intersection of \mathfrak{p} -primary submodules of M is \mathfrak{p} -primary.*

Proof. If N_1, N_2 are two such \mathfrak{p} -primary, then

$$0 \rightarrow M/(N_1 \cap N_2) \rightarrow M/N_1 \oplus M/N_2,$$

and hence $\text{Ass } M/(N_1 \cap N_2) \subseteq \text{Ass } M/N_1 \cup \text{Ass } M/N_2 = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. \square

Proposition 26.7. *For $M \neq 0$, the following are equivalent. (i) M is \mathfrak{p} -coprimary, (ii) \mathfrak{p} is minimal prime containing $\text{Ann } M$, and $\text{z. div } M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ (iii) $\mathfrak{p}^n M = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $\text{z. div } M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): $\text{Ass } M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, and we have proven that the set of minimal associated primes of M (\mathfrak{p} in this case) are the minimal primes containing $\text{Ann } M$. The second part follows since all associated primes are contained in \mathfrak{p} .

(ii) \implies (iii): The second part of (ii) means that $M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is injective. Now $\mathfrak{p}^n M \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^n M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So we may reduce to the case $A = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $M = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, in which case \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal. Since \mathfrak{p} is maximal and is minimal containing $\text{Ann } M$, this means $\sqrt{\text{Ann } M} = \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is finitely generated, $\mathfrak{p}^n M = 0$ for some n .

(iii) \implies (i): $\mathfrak{p}^n \subseteq \text{Ann } M \subseteq \text{z.div } M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Now for any $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \text{Ann } M$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. This proves that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime containing $\text{Ann } M$, which means it is a minimal associated prime. Since the second part of (iii) means every associated prime is contained in \mathfrak{p} , this concludes the argument. \square

27. 08/11/2019

Recall we are assuming that A is Noetherian and M is a finitely generated A -module.

Example 27.1. $I \subseteq A$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary if and only if $\mathfrak{p}^n \subseteq I$ and for all $a, b \in A$, $ab \in I \implies a \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $b \in I$.

Corollary 27.2. If M is \mathfrak{p} -coprimary, then $M \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If M is \mathfrak{q} -coprimary for some $\mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

Theorem 27.3 (Primary decomposition). Let A be Noetherian and M a finitely generated A -module. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule. Then there is a decomposition $N = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/N} N^{\mathfrak{p}}$ where each $N^{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq M$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary. If \mathfrak{p} is minimal in $\text{Ass } M/N$, then $N^{\mathfrak{p}} = \ker(M \rightarrow (M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Moreover, this decomposition commutes with localization.

For the embedded primes, $N^{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not necessarily uniquely determined.

Proof. We say a submodule is irreducible if it is not the intersection of two strictly larger submodules.

By Noetherianity, every submodule can be written as a finite intersection of irreducible submodules.

Now it suffices to prove an irreducible submodule is primary. By quotienting, we may assume 0 is irreducible in M , and need to prove M is coprimary. If not, then there are at least two associated primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$, but then we have submodules $M_1 \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}_1$, $M_2 \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}_2$ of M . Now $m \in M_1, M_2$

would have $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \text{Ann}(m) = \mathfrak{p}_2$ if $m \neq 0$, and this is not the case. Hence $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$, and this is a contradiction.

Now we have a decomposition $N = \bigcap_i P_i$ with $\text{Ass } M/N \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$, since $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i M/P_i$. We can remove redundant factors, and then $\text{Ass } M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$. Let $N' = \bigcap_{i \neq j} P_i$, so that $N = N' \cap P_j$, and so $0 \neq N'/N \hookrightarrow M/P_j$ and $N'/N \hookrightarrow M/N$, and so $\text{Ass } N'/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ is contained in $\text{Ass } M/N$.

To prove the uniqueness at minimal primes, consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \frac{M}{N^{\mathfrak{p}}} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \left(\frac{M}{N^{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

δ is injective since $M/N^{\mathfrak{p}}$ is \mathfrak{p} -coprimary. From the injection $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/N^{\mathfrak{q}}$, we get the map γ when we localize at \mathfrak{p} , since \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime in $\text{Ass } M/N$. Now the claim follows from a Snake lemma in the diagram above. \square

28. 13/11/2019

Theorem 28.1 (Krull intersection theorem). *Let A be a Noetherian domain, and $I \subset A$ an ideal. Then*

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0.$$

More generally, if A is a ring and M a Noetherian module, $I \subset A$ an ideal. Let $N = \bigcap I^n M$. Then there is $x \in I$ such that $(1+x)N = 0$.

Proof. Choose a primary decomposition $IN = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will prove that $N \subseteq Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each \mathfrak{p} . If $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $I^n(M/Q^{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for n large enough, and so $N \subseteq I^n M \subseteq Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$. When $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, choose $a \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and $aN \subseteq IN \subseteq Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$, but a is not a zerodivisor on $M/Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $N \subseteq Q^{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $IN = N$, and then the same proof as Nakayama gives the result. \square

28.1. Jordan–Hölder filtration.

Definition 28.2. Let A be a ring and M a module. We call M *simple* if $M \neq 0$ and does not have a smaller nontrivial submodule. This is equivalent to $M \simeq A/\mathfrak{m}$ for a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

Definition 28.3. For a decreasing filtration M_{\bullet} , we let the associated graded module $\text{gr}_{\bullet} M$ be $\text{gr}_i(M) = M_i/M_{i+1}$. M_{\bullet} is a *Jordan–Hölder filtration* or *composition series* if each quotient is simple.

29. 15/11/2019

Lemma 29.1. *Given $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ and a filtration of M_\bullet , this induces a filtration on N and Q such that $0 \rightarrow N_\bullet \rightarrow M_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet \rightarrow 0$. This also implies $0 \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet(N) \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet(M) \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet(Q) \rightarrow 0$.*

Theorem 29.2 (Jordan–Hölder). *If a module M has a Jordan–Hölder filtration, then the multiset $\{\text{gr}_i(M)\}$ is independent of the choice of such filtration. Any filtration can be refined to a Jordan–Hölder filtration. Moreover, $\text{Supp}(M) = \{\text{maximal ideals } \mathfrak{m} : A/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \text{gr}_i(M)\}$, and $M \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Supp}(M)} M_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. Note that if M_\bullet is a Jordan–Hölder filtration, and any $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, then $\{\text{gr}_i(M)\} = \{\text{gr}_i(N)\} \cup \{\text{gr}_i(Q)\}$ as multisets, and so if $M \supset N = N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \cdots \supset 0$ is another filtration, then this reduced the problem to N_1 , and then do the same thing.

The last two claims are clear by localizing. □

Definition 29.3. The length $l(M)$ of a module M is the length of a Jordan–Hölder filtration, or ∞ if it does not exist.

Lemma 29.4. *M is Artinian and Noetherian if and only if $l(M) < \infty$.*

Proof. Since M is Noetherian, we can find maximal proper submodules. This gives a filtration, and it is finite since M is Artinian.

Conversely, any filtration refines to a Jordan–Hölder filtration, so must be finite. □

Theorem 29.5. *A is Artinian if and only if A is Noetherian and $\dim A = 0$.*

30. 18/11/2019

Proof. If A is Noetherian and $\dim A = 0$, then we proved there is a finite filtration A_\bullet with gr_\bullet being the quotient of a prime. But $\dim A = 0$, so all of these are fields, and hence are simple. So $l(A) < \infty$, hence A is Artinian.

\implies : Suppose A is Artinian. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of finite products of maximal ideals. Since A is Artinian, we can find $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ a minimal element. In particular, this means that for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we have $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{rad } A$. Now let $\mathcal{I} = \{I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} : I\mathfrak{p} \neq 0\}$

If $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$, so $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$, so we can find $I \in \mathcal{S}$ minimal. Then I is principal, since if $a \in I$ is with $A\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, then $(a) \in \mathcal{S}$, so $I = (a)$. Now also $(I\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{p} = I\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, so $I\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$. This implies $I\mathfrak{p} = I$. Hence by Nakayama, we have $I = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Hence $\mathfrak{p} = 0$, that is, there is a product of maximal ideals which is 0. Such product also gives us a Jordan–Hölder filtration: If $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n = 0$, then $(\mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i)/(\mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{i+1})$ is an Artinian A/\mathfrak{m}_{i+1} -module, hence a finite dimensional vector-space, and so has finite length. This proves that A has finite length, hence A is Noetherian.

Also, $\{\text{primes}\} = \text{Supp } A \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_i\}$, so A has dimension 0. □

Corollary 30.1. *If A is Artinian, then $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ for some local Artinian rings A_i .*

Proposition 30.2. *If A is a local Artinian ring, then the maximal ideal is nilpotent.*

Proof. Since A is Artinian, $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ for some n , and since A is Noetherian, \mathfrak{m}^n is finitely generated, so by Nakayama we have $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$. □

30.1. Graded rings.

Lemma 30.3. *Let M be a graded A module, with A finitely generated A_0 -algebra, M a finitely generated A -module. Then each M_n is finitely generated A_0 -module, and $M_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$.*

Proof. Let $A = A_0[a_1, \dots, a_r]$ with a_i homogeneous, and $M = \sum_{i=1}^s A m_i$ with m_i homogeneous. Now $M = \sum_{i,j} A_0 a_i m_j$ as an A_0 -module, and so the claim follows. □

31. 20/11/2019

Definition 31.1. Consider the graded ring $A = k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ and M a finitely generated graded A -module. We defined the *Hilbert function of M* by $n \mapsto \dim_k M_n$. We define the Hilbert series by $H_M(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim M_n) t^n$.

Example 31.2. $H_A(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{r+1}}$

Theorem 31.3 (Hilbert–Serre). $H_M(t) = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)^{r+1}}$ for some $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$.

Corollary 31.4. *There exist a polynomial $h_M \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $h_M(t) = \dim M_n$ for $n \gg 0$.*

Proof of Theorem. Do induction on r . For $r = -1$ this is trivial. Consider the homomorphism of graded modules $M(-1) \xrightarrow{x_0} M$. Now the kernel and cokernel are finitely generated graded $A/(x_0)$ -modules, and one can do the induction. □

Theorem 31.5. *Let A a graded ring, M a finitely generated graded A -module, A_0 Artinian and A generated by homogeneous elements x_i of degree k_i . Then if $H_M(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} l(M_n)t^n$, then $H_M(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\prod_i (1-t^{k_i})}$*

31.1. Filtered modules. Let A be a Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{q} an ideal. We consider filtrations compatible with \mathfrak{q} , in the sense that $\mathfrak{q}M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$.

We consider the function $n \mapsto l(M/M_n)$. We will prove it eventually is a polynomial.

Definition 31.6. We form $\bar{A} = A \oplus \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{q}^2 \oplus \cdots$ and $\bar{M} = M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus \cdots$. So \bar{A} is a graded ring and \bar{M} is a graded \bar{A} -module.

32. 22/11/2019

Lemma 32.1. \bar{A} is Noetherian.

Proof. Hilbert basis theorem: it is generated as an A -algebra by (finitely many) generators of \mathfrak{q} . □

Proposition 32.2. *The following are equivalent: (1) $M_{n+1} = \mathfrak{q}M_n$ for $n \gg 0$, (2) \bar{M} is a finitely generated \bar{A} -module.*

In such case, we call the filtration \mathfrak{q} -stable.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is clear, since each M_i is finitely generated.

For the converse, choose homogeneous generators z_i of degree d_i . Then $M_n = \sum_i \mathfrak{q}^{n-d_i} z_i$, and so for $n > d_i$ for all i we have $M_{n+1} = \mathfrak{q}M_n$. □

Lemma 32.3 (Artin–Rees). *Let M_\bullet be a \mathfrak{q} -adic filtered module that is finitely generated over a Noetherian A . For $N \subseteq M$, we consider the induced filtration. If M_\bullet is stable, then N_\bullet also is.*

Proof. $\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{M}$, and since \bar{A} is Noetherian, the claim follows. □

Theorem 32.4 (Samuel’s theorem). *Let A be a Noetherian ring, M_\bullet a finitely generated \mathfrak{q} -filtered A -module. Suppose $l(M/\mathfrak{q}M) < \infty$ and M_\bullet is \mathfrak{q} -stable. Then there exist a polynomial (Hilbert–Samuel polynomial) $P_{M_\bullet}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $l(M/M_n) = P_{M_\bullet}(n)$ for $n \gg 0$. Moreover, the leading term only depends on M, \mathfrak{q} .*

Proof. Note $l(M/\mathfrak{q}M) < \infty$ iff $\text{Supp } M \cap V(\mathfrak{q})$ consist of maximal ideals.

Replace A by $A/(\text{Ann } M)$, so that we may assume $\text{Ann } M = 0$. Then this means $\text{Supp } M = \text{Spec } A$, and so $\text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{q} = V(\mathfrak{q})$ consist only of maximal ideals. This means A/\mathfrak{q} is Artinian.

$\text{gr}_\bullet(A)$ is finitely generated by elements of degree 1, and $\text{gr}_\bullet(M)$ is a finitely generated $\text{gr}_\bullet(A)$ -module (as M_\bullet is \mathfrak{q} -stable). Now apply Hilbert–Serre.

The claim about the leading term follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{q}^n M \subseteq M_n \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^{n-m} M$ for some fixed m . □

33. 25/11/2019

Definition 33.1. For $d \geq \deg P_M$, write $p_M(n) = e(d, M) \frac{n^d}{d!} + \dots$. We call $e(d, M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the multiplicity.

Proposition 33.2. If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, then $\deg p_N \leq \deg p_M$ and $p_M \equiv p_N + p_Q \pmod{x^{\deg p_N - 1}}$.

Proof. If M_\bullet is any \mathfrak{q} -filtration, then p is additive if we take the induced filtrations on M . Now the claim follows from Artin–Rees. □

Corollary 33.3. If $d \geq \deg p_M$, then $e(d, M) = e(d, N) + e(d, Q)$.

33.1. Dimension theory.

Definition 33.4. For a module M , we define $\dim M = \sup\{r: \mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ for primes $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Supp } M$.

Proposition 33.5. If M is Noetherian, then $\dim M = \max\{\dim A/\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{p} \text{ is minimal among primes in } \text{Supp } M\}$.

Proof. There are finitely many minimal elements of $\text{Supp } M$, and every $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp } M$ contains such a minimal element. □

Assume from now on that A is Noetherian local, and \mathfrak{q} be \mathfrak{m} primary (same as $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, same as $l(A/\mathfrak{q}) < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$).

Let M be a nonzero finitely generated module.

Then $d(M) := \deg p_M$ does not depend on \mathfrak{q} .

Definition 33.6. Let $s(M)$ be the smallest s such that there are $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{m}$ with $l(M/(x_1, \dots, x_s)) < \infty$. These are called a system of parameters.

Remark 33.7. This correspond to a local “chart” that is finite-to-1.

Theorem 33.8 (Dimension theorem). $\dim M = d(M) = s(M)$.

Lemma 33.9. *If $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $s(M) \leq s(M/xM) + 1$. If x does not vanish on any irreducible component of $\text{Supp } M$ of maximal dimension, then $\dim M/xM + 1 \leq \dim M$. If x is not a zerodivisor on M , then $d(M/xM) \leq d(M) - 1$.*

Proof. The first two are trivial. For the last, we have $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM$, and so $d(M/xM) < d(M)$. \square

34. 27/11/2019

Proposition 34.1. $\dim M \leq d(M)$.

Proof. Base case: $d(M) = 0$, in which case $\mathfrak{m}^n M$ stabilize. By Nakayama, we have $\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$. Now $\text{Supp } M = V(\text{Ann } M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Hence $\dim M = 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r$ be a maximal chain in $\text{Supp } M$. Then $\dim M = \dim A/\mathfrak{p}_0$. \mathfrak{p}_0 is a minimal prime, so \mathfrak{p}_0 is an associated prime and let $N \subseteq M$ isomorphic to A/\mathfrak{p}_0 . Then $d(N) \leq d(M)$, and so it suffices to prove $r \leq d(N)$. Choose $x \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r \subset N/xN$. So $d-1 \leq \dim N/xN \leq d(N/xN)$ by induction. And then by the lemma we have $d(N/xN) \leq d(N) - 1$ since x is not a zerodivisor. \square

Proposition 34.2. $d(M) \leq s(M)$.

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_s be a minimal system of parameters. Since $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, we have $d(M) \leq d((x_1, \dots, x_s)^\bullet M)$. By Samuel’s theorem, this is at most s . \square

Proposition 34.3. $s(M) \leq \dim M$.

Proof. Base case $\dim M = 0$: then $\text{Supp } M = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, so M has finite length.

Consider $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M : \dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\}$ which are contained in the minimal primes of $\text{Supp } M$, which is a finite set. \mathfrak{m} is not in such set if $\dim M > 0$. Choose $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ outside such set. By the lemma, we have $\dim M/xM \leq \dim M - 1$. Also by the lemma, $s(M) \leq s(M/xM)$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 34.4. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with residue field k . Then $\dim \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \geq \dim A$.*

Proof. By Nakayama we have that $\dim \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the minimal number of generators of \mathfrak{m} , which serves as a system of parameters, hence is at least $s(A)$. \square

34.1. Height.

Definition 34.5. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of a ring A . Then its height $h(\mathfrak{p})$ is $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 34.6. Let A be a Noetherian ring and $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime minimal among primes that contain (f_1, \dots, f_r) . Then $h(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r$.

Proof. By the assumptions, the only prime ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is \mathfrak{p} . Hence $r \geq s(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = h(\mathfrak{p})$. \square

35. 02/12/2019

Krull's principal ideal theorem, regularity, inverse limits

36. 04/12/2019

Theorem 36.1. Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet} \rightarrow C_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$, then the inverse limit is left exact, and is right exact if A_{\bullet} has only surjective maps.

Proof. Think of the inverse limit as the kernel of $\Theta_A: \prod A_n \rightarrow \prod A_n$ given by $(x_i) \mapsto (x_i - \text{im}(x_{i+1}))$, and apply Snake lemma. \square

Definition 36.2. For a ring A with an ideal I , define the completion $\hat{A} := \varprojlim_n A/I^n$. For a module M , $\hat{M} := \varprojlim_n M/I^n$.

Proposition 36.3. For a topological abelian group, $M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ is injective if and only if M is separated (i.e. Hausdorff).

37. 06/12/2019

Theorem 37.1. Let A be a local ring. Then \hat{A} is flat A -module, we have $A/\mathfrak{m}^n \simeq \hat{A}/\mathfrak{m}^n$. \hat{A} is complete, and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \hat{A}$.

38. 09/12/2019

Theorem 38.1. *If A is a Noetherian local ring, then so is \hat{A} . They have the same dimension, and \hat{A} is regular if and only if A is.*

Proof. We clearly have that $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ is maximal. But it is contained in the radical, and so $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the unique maximal ideal. Since $\text{gr}_{\bullet} A \simeq \text{gr}_{\bullet} \hat{A}$, the right one must be Noetherian. Now let I be an ideal of \hat{A} , with the induced filtration $\text{Fil}_{\bullet} I$. Then $\text{gr}_{\bullet} I$ is an ideal of a Noetherian ring, so is finitely generated. Take homogeneous generators for it, and take lifts. Then using that \hat{A} is complete and the Krull intersection theorem, we can prove they generate I .

By the above, the quotients of the filtration are isomorphic, and so the Hilbert–Samuel polynomials are the same, so $\dim \hat{A} = \dim A$.

Regularity also follows since $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{m}}/\hat{\mathfrak{m}}^2$. □

38.1. DVRs.

Definition 38.2. A *discrete valuation ring* is the ring of integers of a discrete valued field.

One can check that for t a uniformizer, that $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$, and so the ideals are only $\mathfrak{m}^e = (t^e)$. Hence it is regular of dimension 1.

39. 11/12/2019

39.1. Depth.

Definition 39.1. For a ring A and a module M . Consider sequences $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and let $M_i = M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M$. x_i is a M -regular sequence if for all i , $x_i \notin \text{z.div}(M_{i-1})$.

Remark 39.2. If M is Noetherian, this means all components of M_{i-1} (including embedded) get cut out.

Definition 39.3. For an ideal \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a}M \subset M$, let $\text{depth}_{\mathfrak{a}} M$ be the supremum of M -regular sequences contained in \mathfrak{a} .

Example 39.4. Let $A = k[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ and $M = A/(xy, y^2)$. Then $\text{depth } M = 0$ since (x, y) is an embedded component.

Let P_1, P_2 be two \mathbb{A}^2 inside A^4 meeting transversely. Then any attempt at cutting will still have an embedded prime.

Assume for simplicity that A is local Noetherian and M is finitely generated.

Proposition 39.5. *depth $M = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ass } M$, and $\text{depth } M \leq \dim M$. If equality holds, we call M Cohen–Macaulay.*

Theorem 39.6. *A is a DVR if and only if it is (1) normal domain of dimension 1, (2) normal domain of depth 1, (3) regular ring of dimension 1, (4) \mathfrak{m} is principal and $\text{height}(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 1$.*